# STUDY OF FACILITY DESIGN FOR CONVERSION OF GLUCOSE TO HIGH FRUCTOSE CORN SYRUP

Ahmed Ullah<sup>1</sup>, Asim Mushtaq<sup>2</sup>\*, Rizwan Ahmed Qamar<sup>1</sup>, Zaeem Uddin Ali<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Chemical Engineering Department, <sup>2</sup>Polymer and Petrochemical Engineering Department

NED University of Engineering & Technology, Karachi, Sindh, Pakistan

e-mail address: <sup>2</sup>engrasimmushtaq@yahoo.com\*.

ABSTRACT: Sucrose from natural product or nectar has been a part of the human eating routine for centuries. High-Fructose Corn Syrup (HFCS) has replaced table sugar (sucrose) as the primary artificial sweetener found in consumer food products. It is a mixture of glucose and fructose produced by breaking down corn starch. The demand for High-Fructose Corn Syrup is increasing day by day. The objective of this research is to design the equipment for the production of HFCS with minimum production cost. The designing of pump, reactor, mixer, evaporator, condenser and heat exchanger are the equipment used for the production of HFCS has been carried out in this research. All the equipment has been designed including the calculations of all the essential parameters concerned with each equipment.

Key Words: Glucose; Fructose; Corn syrup; Sucrose; Carbohydrate.

# **1. INTRODUCTION**

Sucrose from sugar stick has been a part of the human eating regimen for many years. Sucrose keeps on being the benchmark against which different sweeteners were estimated. In any case, sucrose has presented critical technological issues in specific applications: it hydrolyzes in acidic frameworks, changes the sweetness and flavour attributes of the product, and it is a granular component that must be broken down in the water before use in numerous applications [1, 2].

Moreover, the sugar stick was customarily developed in central districts, well for both political and climatic precariousness. The accessibility and cost of sugar varied uncontrollably in response to upsets in either one. HFCS is quickly proved itself an appealing option in contrast to sucrose in fluid applications since it is steady in acidic nourishments and refreshments. Since it is a syrup, HFCS can be pumped from conveyance vehicles to stowage and blending tanks, requiring just straightforward weakening before use as an ingredient got from corn, a reliable, sustainable, and plentiful agricultural crude material of the Midwest US. HFCS has stayed safe from the cost and accessibility limits of sucrose. It was mainly thus that HFCS was so promptly acknowledged by the nourishment business and delighted in such staggering development [3, 4].

Preceding the improvement of the overall sugar industry, dietary fructose was constrained to just a couple of things. Meats, milk and most vegetables, the staples of various early eating regimens, have no fructose and only 5-10% fructose by weight is found in natural products, for example, apples, grapes and blueberries. Molasses and natural dried organic products have a substance of under 10% fructose sugar [5].

Initial formative work was done during the 1960s, with shipments of the primary business HFCS product to the edibles business happening in the late 1960s. Advance development over the resulting at least 35 years made HFCS an exceptional amongst the best sustenance ingredients in present-day history. HFCS was utilized in relative obscurity for a long time. On the whole, its compositional likeness to sucrose recommended that it would be processed similarly. Its security was never genuinely questioned as scientific panels inconsistently since the 1960s made the same inference: fructose, sucrose, glucose and currently HFCS did not represent a critical wellbeing hazard, with the single particular case of advancing dental caries [6, 7].

Even though there was an impressive hypothesis during the 1980s that fructose was in charge of a few metabolic anomalies, persuading evidence this was a critical wellbeing hazard was never pending. It came as incredible amazement to numerous when, apparently medium-term, HFCS was changed from an everyday ingredient into the essential focal point of researchers and customers worried about the emerging occurrence of obesity in the United States and around the globe. From 1970 to 2000 there has been a 25% expansion in "included sugars" [8].

HFCS is made out of either 42 percent or 55 percent fructose, with the rest of the sugars being principally glucose and higher sugars. As far as the creation, HFCS is almost identical to table sugar (sucrose), which is made out of 50 percent fructose and 50 percent glucose is represented in Table 1. In this way in spite of the name, HFCS isn't exceptionally high in fructose contrasted with glucose [2, 9]. HFCS, like honey and table sugar, are natural. HFCS is produced using corn, a specific grain item. HFCS contains no artificial or manufactured ingredients or colour substances and meets the Food and Drug Administration's strategy for utilization of the expression "normal."

## 2. PROCESS SELECTION (METHODOLOGY)

The preliminary raw material in the HFCS production is corn starch, over a series of four foremost preparing steps; the starch is changed over to 42 percent HFCS. The real steps is the change of starch to dextrose feedstock. At that point, preparation of high-quality dextrose feedstock for isomerization. The isomerization of the feedstock to fructose. Secondary, fructose refining, whenever need a fifth stage can be included with further refining of the 42% solution can be utilized to create 55 to 90 percent fructose syrups [10, 11].

## 2.1. First Enzymatic Step

The primary step changes over the starch slurry to dextrose. The starch slurry is at first a blend of 15-30% amylase and 70-85% amylopectin. A few stages are engaged with the manufacture of dextrose from starch. Firstly, the starch slurry is exposed to a high temperature in which the starch granules breaks and starch progresses toward becoming gelatinized. This gelatinized starch is then diluted by both hydrolysis and high temperature with alpha-amylase. This progression

produces liquid, less thick and low molecular weight dextrins products and takes roughly 130 mins [12, 13].

## 2.2. Second Enzymatic Step

This liquefaction and dextrinization items are like this exposed gradually to hydrolysis procedure by amyloglucosidase to make a glucose syrup. This is suggested to as saccharification, a persistent procedure take up to 75 hours, contingent upon the measure of catalyst present. The final product of the scarification procedure is a 94-96% high dextrose hydrolyzate additionally elegant in stage 2 [14, 15]. In the second step, the dextrose is refined to make a highquality feedstock essential for the isomerization procedure in step 3. The refining procedure lessens the pollutants, for example, ash and proteins that can impede the proficiency of the isomerization catalyst in step 3. In this procedure, the dextrose is exposed to a progression of filtration ventures to clear the oil and protein. Next, the color of the liquor is removed by a sequence of granulated carbon segments. At that point, the liquor is exposed to ion exchange system which is de-ionized. Finally, the liquor is vaporized to the proper level for the third step then treated with magnesium particles to restrain any calcium particles that may inhibit the isomerase action in step 3 [2].

## 2.3. Third Enzymatic Step

In the third stage, the dextrose liquor is isomerized to HFCS, is the core procedure. The isomerization organizes changes over the glucose too much sweeter and therefore progressively important fructose yield. The critical advancement that creates this upgraded value possible is the business improvement of immobilized glucose isomerase, a bound enzyme can raised temperatures of the procedure. The

expense of the isomerization enzymes is an essential part of the total operating budget in the HFCS procedure. Along these lines, many research exertion has been dedicated to the economics of the enzyme activity and particularly its decay rate [16].

The utilization of immobilized enzyme reactor frameworks is the typical structure in the industry. In this stage, the primary variable is the movement of the enzyme that controls the conversion rate of dextrose to fructose to determine the quality of fructose content in the product. The movement of the enzyme decays with respect to time in an ordinary way. Because of this decay, the reactor framework is structured and worked to limit the fluctuations in action [17].

In step 4, powder and color are removed from the 42% HFCS over ion exchange and carbon filtration systems. The cation and anion exchange resins which are especially helpful for the removal of color framing bodies and different polluting influences from the fermented polyhydroxy liquor solutions in the present development are the strongly acidic cation and basic anion exchange resins. Among the cation exchange resins of the above kind which can be utilized in the current development are Amberlite IR-112, Aberlite IR-120, and Dowex 50 which are copolymers arranged by responding styrene with different compositions of divinylbenzene and sulfonating the aromatic nucleus [12]. The anion exchange resins which can be utilized in the present innovation are the essential anion exchange resins containing quaternary ammonium groups and which thus can split salts, also, having the absorbing capacity concerning acids. It also include evaporation of the 42% resolution for shipment of solids [18, 19].



Figure 1: Flow diagram of HFCS production



Figure 2: Process flow diagram of HFCS production

#### **3. EQUIPMENT DESIGNING**

The plant design involves a wide variety of skills. In plant designing after the inception and the need for this project and after carrying out material and energy balance, more inclined towards the designing of the equipment. The equipment designed involve pumps, heat exchangers, condensers, reactor, mixers and evaporator.

#### 3.1. Designing of Pump

In case of pumps, we have calculated out the energy requirements. The power in hp has been calculated out. The available and required NPSH has been calculated and we have selected a pump model based on our calculation as shown in Figure 3. The specification of the pump is calculated in Table 1.



Figure 3: Parameters of pump

Table 1: Calculated specification of pump

| Parameter                                   | Operating condition                             |
|---|---|
| 1 al alletel                                | Operating condition                             |
| Frictional losses ; $\Delta P_{f}$          | 19948.88 Pa =19.948 KPa                         |
| Work done ; W <sub>0</sub>                  | 259.636 m <sup>2</sup> /s <sup>2</sup>          |
| Power ; P                                   | 3212.05 W =4.31 hp                              |
| Static head                                 | 6.66 m  |
| Dynamic head                                | 1.83 m  |
| Total head; H                               | 8.49 m  |
| NPSH  | 11.03 m   |
| Best Operation                              | ng Point  |
| Flow rate ; Q                               | $113 \text{ gpm} = 0.0071 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ |
| Total head ; H                              | 76 ft = 23.16 m                                 |
| Efficiency, η                               | 45 %  |
| Head coefficient; C <sub>H</sub>            | 0.147   |
| Capacity coefficient; C <sub>Q</sub>        | 0.0017  |
| Power coefficient ; C <sub>P</sub>          | 0.00053   |
| Suction head coefficient; C <sub>NPSH</sub> | 0.0623  |
| Pump specific speed; N <sub>sp</sub>        | 0.175   |
| Pump specific speed; N <sub>sp, Eur</sub>   | 0.02791   |

# 3.2. Designing of Mixer

To calculating out the specifications for the mixer. The retention time and the type of impeller used in mixing were also evaluated as shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4: Parameters of mixer

The specification of the mixer is calculated in Table 2.

Table 2: Calculated specification of mixer

| Inlet flow rate ; $Q_1$ $38.873 m^3/hr = 0.011$ Time is taken to fill the<br>mixer $0.0067 hrs$ The volume of the fluid; $V_f$ $0.259 m^3$ The inner diameter of the $0.548 m$     | 1 m <sup>3</sup> /s |
|--|---------------------|
| $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$   |                     |
| $\begin{tabular}{ c c c c c } \hline mixer & & & \\ \hline The volume of the fluid; V_f & 0.259 \ m^3 & \\ \hline The inner diameter of the & 0.548 \ m & \\ \hline \end{tabular}$ |                     |
| The volume of the fluid; $V_f$ 0.259 m <sup>3</sup> The inner diameter of the0.548 m   |                     |
| The inner diameter of the 0.548 m  |                     |
|  |                     |
| mixer  |                     |
| Length of mixer 1.097 m  |                     |
| Liquid head; h 1.010 m   |                     |
| Pressure drop due to liquid 4954.44 Pa = 4.954   | KPa                 |
| head   |                     |
| Total allowable pressure ; P 106279.44 Pa = 106  | 6.28                |
| KPa  |                     |
| Material Carbon steel  |                     |
| Working stress of carbon 94408.84 KPa  |                     |
| steel; S   |                     |
| The thickness of the 0.0014 m  |                     |
| cylindrical shell; t <sub>m</sub>  |                     |
| Outer diameter 0.551m  |                     |
| Volume of the cylindrical 0.003 m <sup>3</sup>   |                     |
| shell; V <sub>s</sub>  |                     |
| The volume of the top and $0.070 \text{ m}^3$  |                     |
| bottom Ellipsoidal head; V   |                     |
| Total volume 0.081 m <sup>3</sup>  |                     |
| The density of Carbon Steel 7855.42 kg/m <sup>3</sup>  |                     |
| Mass of the tank; W 639 kg   |                     |
| Reynold Number; Re 27597.67 (Turbulent   | flow)               |
| Power ; P 1310 W = 1.310 K   | KW                  |
| Time required to fill the $24 \text{ s} = 0.0067 \text{ hm}$   | r                   |
| mixer; t <sub>T</sub>  |                     |

**3.3.** Designing of Reactor

To design a PBR reactor operating isothermally. The reaction kinetics was first order which simplified our calculations a great deal. The weight of catalyst was our basic requirement in the designing of the reactor. The pressure drop and other factors were also calculated and they met the essential requirement as shown in Figure 5. The specification of the reactor is calculated in Table 3.

| Table 3: Calculated | l specification of the reactor |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|
|---------------------|--------------------------------|

| Parameter                        | Operating condition  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Residence time                   | 0.5 hr   |  |
| Number of reactors               | 4  |  |
| Velocity through bed             | 0.002 m/s  |  |
| Height left above the bed        | 0.25 m   |  |
| Height left below the bed        | 0.25 m   |  |
| The density of catalyst;         | 333 kg/m <sup>3</sup>  |  |
| $ ho_c$                          |  |  |
| Porosity; φ                      | 0.3 hr   |  |
| The diameter of catalyst         | 0.007 m  |  |
| pellet; D <sub>p</sub>           |  |  |
| E                                | 0  |  |
| Specific rate constant; k        | 1.28E-03 dm <sup>3</sup> /kg-s                                 |  |
| Initial total flow rate          | 237 201 g-mol/s  |  |
| (F <sub>TO</sub> )               | 237.201 g-moi/s  |  |
| Concentration (C <sub>TO</sub> ) | 49333.11 g-mol/m <sup>3</sup> =49.33 g-<br>mol/dm <sup>3</sup> |  |
| The flow rate of each            | 59 3 g-mol/s   |  |
| reactor F' <sub>TO</sub>         | 59.5 g 11013   |  |
| Design equation for first-       | 2162 35 kg   |  |
| order PBR; W                     | 2102.33 Kg   |  |
| The volume of catalyst           | $1.016 \mathrm{m}^3$   |  |
| each bed; V                      |  |  |
| Bed height; Z                    | 3.600 m  |  |
| The radius of bed; r             | 0.758 m  |  |
| Column height; L                 | 4.546 m  |  |
| Packing density; pb              | 233.1kg/m <sup>3</sup>   |  |
| Volume of void                   | $1.948 \text{ m}^3$  |  |

| Volume of solid catalyst; $V_s$                          | 4.545 m <sup>3</sup>       |
|--|----------------------------|
| The cross-sectional area of catalyst bed; A <sub>c</sub> | 1.804 m <sup>2</sup>       |
| Superficial velocity; u                                  | 0.0027 m/s                 |
| Superficial mass<br>velocity; G                          | 2.994 kg/m <sup>2</sup> .s |
| Pressure drop; $\Delta P$                                | 949.7514 Pa                |

# **3.4. Designing of Evaporator**

Multiple effect evaporators were used and we calculated the area as well as the steam economy and all the other variables involving the mass of steam and the mass of vapours. The different operating temperatures were obtained from the patent and enthalpies were calculated on these temperatures by the available data as shown in Figure 6. The specification of the evaporator is calculated in Table 4.

| Inlet Temperature ; T <sub>1</sub> = | 60       | °C                |      | Glucose refined ; j=                      | 466.643<br>5.40 | tons/day<br>kg/s |
|--------------------------------------|----------|-------------------|------|---|-----------------|------------------|
| Inlet Pressure ; $P_1 =$             | 102000   | Pa                | Amou | ints:-                                    |                 | 2                |
| Density; $\rho_1 =$                  | 1123.3   | kg/m <sup>3</sup> |      | glucose=                                  | 111.111         | tons/day         |
| Inlet Flow Rate ; Q1 =               | 0.0048   | m <sup>3</sup> /s |      | water=                                    | 351.008         | tons/day         |
| viscosity; $\mu_1 =$                 | 0.001075 | Pa-s              |      | ash=                                      | 4.524           | tons/day         |
|                                      |          |                   | Comp | ositions:-                                |                 |                  |
|                                      |          | 1                 | ,    | glucose; C <sub>14</sub> =                | 0.238           |                  |
|                                      |          | ·                 |      | water; C <sub>15</sub> =                  | 0.752           |                  |
|                                      |          |                   |      | ash; C <sub>16</sub> =                    | 0.010           |                  |
|                                      |          | Ľ                 |      | conversion; X=                            | 0.9             |                  |
|                                      |          |                   | Iso  | merized glucose; k =                      | 466.643<br>5.40 | tons/day<br>kg/s |
|                                      |          | ↓                 | , Am | ounts:-                                   |                 |                  |
|                                      |          |                   |      | HFCS(42%)=                                | 100.000         | tons/day         |
|                                      |          |                   |      | water=                                    | 351.008         | tons/day         |
|                                      |          |                   |      | ash=                                      | 4.524           | tons/day         |
|                                      |          |                   | Con  | moniverted glucose=                       | 11.111          | tons/day         |
|                                      |          |                   | Con  | HFCS(42%);<br>C <sub>17</sub> =           | 0.214           |                  |
|                                      |          |                   |      | water; C <sub>18</sub> =                  | 0.752           |                  |
|                                      |          |                   |      | ash; C <sub>19</sub> =                    | 0.010           |                  |
|                                      |          |                   | I    | unconverted glucose;<br>C <sub>20</sub> = | 0.024           |                  |

**Figure 5: Parameters of the reactor** 

Sci.Int.(Lahore),31(3),465-472, 2019





# Table 4: Calculated specification of the evaporator

| Parameter                             | Operating condition                                 |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Overall material balance              | 4.03  |
| $(m_{v1} + m_{v2})$                   |   |
| Applying energy balance               | $2652.03 \text{ m}_{v1} + 312.433 \text{ m}_{f1}$ - |
| first effect                          | $2197.2 \text{ m}_{s} = 845.29$                     |
| Applying energy balance               | 2296.116 m <sub>v1</sub> - 2583.29 m <sub>v2</sub>  |
| second effect                         | $+312.433 \text{ m}_{f1} = 193.3065$                |
| Overall heat transfer co-             | $1.7 KW/m^2 K$                                      |
| efficient in first effect = $U_1$     | 1.7 KW/III .K                                       |
| Overall heat transfer co-             |   |
| efficient in second effect =          | 1.2 KW/m <sup>2</sup> .K                            |
| $U_2$                                 |   |
| Water removed ; m <sub>v1</sub>       | 1.86 kg/s   |
| Water removed ; m <sub>v2</sub>       | 2.16 kg/s   |
| Steam in; m <sub>s</sub>              | 2.55 kg/s   |
| Glucose unrefined ; m <sub>f1</sub>   | 4.83 kg/s   |
| Steam Economy                         | 1.578   |
| Heat Duty first effect Q <sub>1</sub> | 5602.86 KW  |
| Heat Duty second effect               | 4270 78 KW  |
| Q <sub>2</sub>                        | 4270.78 KW  |
| Area                                  | 89.08 m <sup>2</sup>                                |

# 3.5. Designing of Condensor

In condensers, we have carried out calculations using both latent and sensible heat. Similarly to the heat exchanger, the pressure drops are calculated out and the mass of condensing media is also an essential variable in condenser designing as shown in Figure 7.



#### Figure 7: Parameters of condensor

The specification of the condenser is calculated in Table 5. Table 5: Calculated specification of the condenser

| Table 5. Calculated specification of the condenser |                                   |  |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|
| Parameter  | <b>Operating condition</b>        |  |
| Outer diameter = $7/8$ in ;                        | 18 BWG                            |  |
| Cleanliness factor; C <sub>cl</sub>                | 0.85                              |  |
| Maximum steam loading                              | 8 lb/hr ft <sup>2</sup>           |  |
| Water velocity; v                                  | 7.5 fps                           |  |
| Length of the tube; L                              | 26 ft                             |  |
| Number of passes; n                                | 2                                 |  |
| Design overall coefficient; U <sub>D</sub>         | 612.22 Btu/hr.ft <sup>2</sup> .°F |  |
| Clean overall coefficient; U <sub>c</sub>          | 720.26 Btu/hr.ft <sup>2</sup> .ºF |  |
| Area; A  | 2684.53047 ft <sup>2</sup>        |  |

| Outlet terminal water temperature; T <sub>2</sub> | 43 °C        |  |
|---|--------------|--|
| Circulation rate; G <sub>o</sub>                  | 1173.87 gpm  |  |
| Amount water at outlet m; $S_8$                   | 586934 lb/hr |  |
| Tube side pressure; $\Delta P_t$                  | 0.3658       |  |
| Number of tubes; N <sub>t</sub>                   | 451          |  |

# 3.6. Designing of Heat Exchanger

In case of a heat exchanger, we have opted for shell and tube type of exchanger. The dirt factor, the pressure drops, and the required heat exchanger area have all been calculated and found acceptable. All the calculations are based on sensible heats and none on latent heats. The mass of coolant was another essential variable which was determined for different heat exchangers bringing the desired variation in temperatures as shown in Figure 8.



## Figure 8: Parameters of the heat exchanger

The specification of the heat exchanger is calculated in Table 6.

|--|

| Tuble of Culculated Specificatio | ii oi the neat exchangei          |  |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Parameter                        | Operating condition               |  |
| Heat balance glucose unrefined;  | 1452340 Btu/br                    |  |
| ΔQ                               | 1452549 Dtu/III                   |  |
| Heat balance hot water; m        | 36638.64 Kg/hr                    |  |
| U <sub>D</sub>                   | 300 Btu/hr.ft <sup>2</sup> .°F    |  |
| Corrected LMTD                   | 15.99 °C                          |  |
| Shell side inner dia             | 10  inch = 0.254  m               |  |
| Shell side baffle space          | 10 in                             |  |
| Shell side passes                | 1                                 |  |
| Tube side length                 | 16  ft = 192  inch                |  |
| Tube side passes                 | 2                                 |  |
| Tube side pitch                  | 1                                 |  |
| Tube side, for 3/4 in tube O.D,  |                                   |  |
| 16 BWG, corrected a number of    | 52                                |  |
| tubes Nt                         |                                   |  |
| Shell I.D                        | 10 in                             |  |
| Area, A                          | 163.3216 ft <sup>2</sup>          |  |
| U <sub>D</sub>                   | 308.97 Btu/hr.ft <sup>2</sup> .°F |  |
| Hot fluid: tube side, water at'  | $0.0545 \text{ ft}^2$             |  |

| G   | 1480013.744 lb/hr.ft <sup>2</sup>  |  |
|---|------------------------------------|--|
| Properties of water, p                    | 62.28 lb/ft <sup>3</sup>           |  |
| Properties of water, µ                    | 1.452 lb/fthr                      |  |
| Properties of water, v                    | 6.6 fps                            |  |
| D   | 0.0517 ft                          |  |
| Re  | 52697.459                          |  |
| h <sub>i</sub>                            | 1720 Btu/hr.ft <sup>2</sup> .°F    |  |
| h <sub>io</sub>                           | 1421.87 Btu/hr.ft <sup>2</sup> .°F |  |
| Cold fluid: Shell side, Glucose           | $0.1736 \text{ ft}^2$              |  |
| solution; a <sub>s</sub>                  | 0.1750 ft                          |  |
| Gs  | 370386.4388 lb/hr.ft <sup>2</sup>  |  |
| C <sub>p</sub>                            | 0.885 Btu/lb.°F                    |  |
| μ   | 2.018 lb/hr.ft                     |  |
| k   | 0.427 Btu/hr.ft°F                  |  |
| ρ   | 70.42 lb/ft <sup>3</sup>           |  |
| D <sub>s</sub>                            | 10  in = 0.833  ft                 |  |
| D <sub>e</sub>                            | 0.0608 ft                          |  |
| Re  | 11165.432                          |  |
| jн  | 60                                 |  |
| h <sub>o</sub>                            | 678.552 Btu/hr.ft <sup>2</sup> .°F |  |
| Clean overall coefficient; U <sub>c</sub> | 459.342 Btu/hr.ft <sup>2</sup> .°F |  |
| Dirt factor; R <sub>d</sub>               | 0.0011                             |  |
| Pressure drop; Tube side; $\Delta P_t$    | 7.3 psi                            |  |
| ΔP <sub>r</sub>                           | 1.68 psi                           |  |
| ΔΡ  | 9.0 psi                            |  |
| Allowable; ΔP                             | 10 psi                             |  |
| Pressure drop; Shell side $\Delta P_s$    | 1.28 psi                           |  |

# 4. CONCLUSION

High-fructose corn syrup (HFCS) is a substitute to sucrose. It was mainly because of its sweetness as compared to sucrose, functionality, improved stability and ease of use. HFCS is economical and attracted by most food formulators and its use grew in the mid of 1970s to mid-1990s. The plant design for the production of HFCS involves a wide variety of skills. The equipment designed involve pumps, heat exchangers, condensers, reactor, mixers and evaporator including the calculations of all the essential parameters concerned with each equipment. The starch is converted to HFCS 42%, through four main treating stages. Secondary the refining of the fructose product if required; a fifth stage can be added up in which extra refining of the 42% HFCS solution can be used to produce 55% and 90% high-quality fructose syrups.

# ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors would like to acknowledge the Department of Chemical Engineering and Department of Polymer and Petrochemical Engineering, NED University of Engineering & Technology, Karachi, Pakistan for supporting in this research work.

# REFERENCES

- Rippe, J.M. and Angelopoulos, T.J., "Sucrose, high-fructose corn syrup, and fructose, their metabolism and potential health effects: what do we really know?", *Advanced Nutrition*, 4 (2): 236-245 (2013).
- [2] Yu, Z., Lowndes, J. and Rippe, J., "High-fructose corn syrup and sucrose have equivalent effects on energyregulating hormones at normal human consumption levels", *Nutrition Research*, **33** (12): 1043-1052 (2013).
- [3] Hii, S.L., Tan, J.S., Ling, T.C. and Ariff, A.B., "Pullulanase: role in starch hydrolysis and potential industrial applications", *Enzyme Research*, **2012** (1): 1-14 (2012).
- [4] Goran, M.I., Ulijaszek, S.J. and Ventura, E.E., "High fructose corn syrup and diabetes prevalence: a global perspective", *Glob Public Health*, **8** (1): 55-64 (2013).
- [5] Jia, D.X., Zhou, L. and Zheng, Y.G., "Properties of a novel thermostable glucose isomerase mined from Thermus oshimai and its application to preparation of high fructose corn syrup", *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, **99** 1-8 (2017).
- [6] Shakoor, S., Singh, G. and Singh, M., "Glucose Isomerase Production and Its Application In Various Field", *International Journal of Scientific Research in Science* and Technology, 4 (5): 690-699 (2018).
- [7] Kwan, T.H., Ong, K.L., Haque, M.A., Kulkarni, S. and Lin, C.S.K., "Biorefinery of food and beverage waste valorisation for sugar syrups production: Technoeconomic assessment", *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, **121** 194-208 (2019).
- [8] Grembecka, M., "Sugar alcohols—their role in the modern world of sweeteners: a review", *European Food Research and Technology*, **241** (1): 1-14 (2015).
- [9] Jin, L.Q., Xu, Q., Liu, Z.Q., Jia, D.X., Liao, C.J., Chen, D.S. and Zheng, Y.G., "Immobilization of Recombinant Glucose Isomerase for Efficient Production of High Fructose Corn Syrup", *Applied Biochemical Biotechnology*, **183** (1): 293-306 (2017).
- [10] Moehlenbrock, M.J. and Minteer, S.D., "Introduction to the field of enzyme immobilization and stabilization", *Methods in Molecular Biology*, **679** 1-7 (2011).
- [11] Wang, Z., Sharma, V., Dien, B.S. and Singh, V., "Highconversion hydrolysates and corn sweetener production in dry-grind corn process", *Cereal Chemistry*, **95** (2): 302-311 (2018).

- [12] Moliner, M., "State of the art of Lewis acid-containing zeolites: lessons from fine chemistry to new biomass transformation processes", *Dalton Transactions*, 43 (11): 4197-4208 (2014).
- [13] Kaushik, N., Biswas, S. and Singh, J., "Biocatalysis and Biotransformation Processes – An Insight", *The Scitech Journal*, 1 (8): 15-22 (2014).
- [14] Singh, I., Langyan, S. and Yadava, P., "Sweet Corn and Corn-Based Sweeteners", *Sugar Technology*, 16 (2): 144-149 (2014).
- [15] Olivares-Pérez, A., Mejias-Brizuela, N.Y., Grande-Grande, A. and Fuentes-Tapia, I., "Corn syrup holograms", *Optik*, **123** (5): 447-450 (2012).
- [16] Johnson, R. and Padmaja, G., "Comparative Studies on the Production of Glucose and High Fructose Syrup from Tuber Starches", *International Research Journal of Biological Sciences*, 2 (10): 68-75 (2013).
- [17] Ramachandran, V., Pujari, N., Matey, T. and Kulkarni, S., "Enzymatic Hydrolysis for Glucose-A Review", *International Journal of Science, Engineering and Technology Research*, 2 (10): 1937-1942 (2013).
- [18] Gensberger, S., Mittelmaier, S., Glomb, M.A. and Pischetsrieder, M., "Identification and quantification of six major alpha-dicarbonyl process contaminants in highfructose corn syrup", *Anal Bioanal Chem*, **403** (10): 2923-2931 (2012).
- [19] Pérez Nebreda, A., Russo, V., Di Serio, M., Eränen, K., Murzin, D.Y., Salmi, T. and Grénman, H., "High purity fructose from inulin with heterogeneous catalysis - from batch to continuous operation", *Journal of Chemical Technology & Biotechnology*, **94** (2): 418-425 (2019).