

# UPPER BOUND OF THIRD HANKEL DETERMINANT FOR FUNCTIONS RELATED WITH SYMMETRICAL POINTS

**Muhammad Arif<sup>1</sup>, Rafiullah, Sadaf Umar, Muhammad Ayaz**

Department of Mathematics, Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan

[marifmaths@hotmail.com](mailto:marifmaths@hotmail.com) (M. Arif), [rafilec@gmail.com](mailto:rafilec@gmail.com) (Rafiullah)

[sadafumar90@gmail.com](mailto:sadafumar90@gmail.com) (S. Umar), [mayazmath@awkum.edu.pk](mailto:mayazmath@awkum.edu.pk) (M. Ayaz)

**ABSTRACT.** In the this paper, we consider a subclass  $SL(s,t)$  of analytic functions related with symmetrical points as well as right half of the lemniscates of Bernoulli,  $(x^2 + y^2)^2 - 2(x^2 - y^2) = 0$ . An upper bound of the Hankel determinant  $H_3(1)$  is determinant for this class.

**Keywords:** Analytic functions, lemniscate of Bernoulli, Hankel determinants.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** 30C45, 30C10, 47B38.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $A$  denote the class of functions  $f(z)$  which are analytic in the region  $U = \{z \in C : |z| < 1\}$ : and normalized by the conditions  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(0) - 1 = 0$ . Therefore, for  $f(z) \in A$ , one has

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n, z \in U. \tag{1.1}$$

Also let  $S \subset A$  be a family of univalent functions and having the normalized form (1.1). For two functions  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  analytic in  $U$ , we say that  $f(z)$  is subordinate to  $g(z)$ , denoted by  $f(z) \prec g(z)$ , if there is an analytic function  $w(z)$  with  $|w(z)| \leq |z|$  such that  $f(z) = g(w(z))$ . If  $g(z)$  is univalent, then  $f(z) \prec g(z)$ , if and only if

$$f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(U) \subseteq g(U).$$

We now define a subclass  $SL(s,t)$  of analytic functions as;

$$SL(s,t) = \left\{ f(z) \in A : \left| \left( \frac{(s-t)zf'(z)}{f(sz)-f(tz)} \right)^2 - 1 \right| < 1 \right\}.$$

The geometrical interpretation of a function  $f(z) \in SL(s,t)$  is that  $(s-t)zf'(z)/(f(sz)-f(tz))$  lies in the region bounded by right half of the limniscate of Bernoulli given by the relation  $|w^2 - 1| < 1$ . It can easily be seen that  $f(z) \in SL(s,t)$  if

$$\frac{(s-t)zf'(z)}{f(sz)-f(tz)} \prec \sqrt{1+z}, z \in U. \tag{1.2}$$

For  $s=1$  and  $t=0$ , the class  $SL(s,t)$  reduce to the class  $SL$  introduced by Sokol and Stankiewicz [1] and further

studied by different authors in [2-6]. The  $q$ th Hankel determinant  $H_q(n)$ ,  $q \geq 1, n \geq 1$ , for a function  $f(z) \in A$  is studied by Noor and Thomas [7] as:

$$H_q(n) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n+1} & L & a_{n+q-1} \\ a_{n+1} & a_{n+2} & L & a_{n+q} \\ M & M & M & M \\ a_{n+q-1} & a_{n+q} & L & a_{n+2q-2} \end{vmatrix}$$

In literature many authors have studied the determinants  $H_q(n)$ . For example, Arif et al. [8-11] studied the  $q$ th Hankel determinant for some subclasses of analytic functions. Hankel determinant of exponential polynomials is obtained Ehrenborg in [12]. The Hankel transform of an integer sequence and some of its properties were discussed by Layman [13]. It is well-known that the Fekete-Szego functional  $|a_3 - a_2^2|$  is  $H_2(1)$ . Fekete-Szego then further generalized the estimate  $|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2|$  with  $\lambda$  real and  $f(z) \in S$ . Moreover, we also know that the functional  $|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2|$  is equivalent to  $H_2(2)$ . The sharp upper bound of the second Hankel determinant for the familiar classes of starlike and convex functions wre studied by Janteng, Halim and Darus [14], that is, for  $f(z) \in S^*$  and  $f(z) \in C$ , they obtained  $|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq 1$  and  $8|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq 1$  respectively. Babalola in [15] considered the third Hankel determinant  $H_3(1)$  and obtained the upper bound of the well-known classes of bounded-turning, starlike and convex functions. Later in 2013, Raza and Malik [16] investigated the upper

bound of  $H_3(1)$  for the class  $SL$  and they obtained that  $576|H_3(1)| \leq 43$ . In the present investigation, we study the upper bound of  $H_3(1)$  for a subclass  $SL(s,t)$  of analytic functions by using Toeplitz determinants.

Let  $P$  denotes the class of analytic function  $p(z)$  of the form

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} p_n z^n, \quad z \in \mathbf{U} \tag{1.3}$$

For our main result we need the following lemmas.

**Lemma 1.1** [17]. If  $p(z) \in P$  and of the form (1.3), then

$$|p_2 - \nu p_1^2| \leq \begin{cases} -4\nu + 2 & (\nu \leq 0) \\ 2 & (0 \leq \nu \leq 1) \\ 4\nu - 2 & (\nu \geq 1). \end{cases}$$

When  $\nu < 1$  or  $\nu > 0$ , equality holds if and only if  $p(z)$  is  $(1+z)/(1-z)$  or one of its rotations. If  $0 < \nu < 1$ , then equality holds if and only if  $p(z)$  is  $(1+z^2)/(1-z^2)$  or one of its rotations. If  $\nu = 0$ , equality holds if and only if for  $0 \leq \xi \leq 1, z \in \mathbf{U}$ ,

$$p(z) = \left(\frac{1+\xi}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1+z}{1-z}\right) + \left(\frac{1-\xi}{2}\right)\left(\frac{1-z}{1+z}\right),$$

or one of its rotations. While for  $\nu = 1$ , equality holds if and only if  $p(z)$  is the reciprocal of one of the functions such that equality holds in the case of  $\nu = 0$ . Although the above upper bound is sharpe, it can be improved as follows when  $0 < \nu < 1$ ;

$$|p_2 - \nu p_1^2| + \nu |p_1|^2 \leq 2, \quad 0 < \nu \leq \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$|p_2 - \nu p_1^2| + (1-\nu)|p_1|^2 \leq 2, \quad \frac{1}{2} < \nu < 1.$$

**Lemma 1.2** [18]. If  $p(z) \in P$  and of the form (1.3), then

$$|p_n| \leq 2, \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

**Lemma 1.3** [17]. If  $p(z) \in P$  and of the form (1.3), then

$$|p_2 - \nu p_1^2| \leq 2 \max \{1, |2\nu - 1|\}, \text{ for } \nu \in \mathcal{F},$$

and this result is sharp for the functions given by

$$p(z) = (1+z^2)/(1-z^2) \quad \& \quad p(z) = (1+z)/(1-z).$$

**Lemma 1.4** [19]. If  $p(z) \in P$  and of the form (1.3), then

$$2p_2 = p_1^2 + x(4 - p_1^2)$$

$$4p_3 = p_1^3 + 2(4 - p_1^2)p_1x - (4 - p_1^2)p_1x^2$$

$$+ 2(4 - p_1^2)(1 - |x|^2)z$$

for some  $x, z$  such that  $|x| \leq 1, |z| \leq 1$ .

## 2. MAIN RESULTS

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $f(z) \in SL(s,t)$  be the form (1.1). Then

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \begin{cases} \frac{2\lambda(3-A_3) - 3A_2^2 + 8A_2 - 4}{8(2-A_2)^2(3-A_3)}, \text{ if } \lambda < \frac{(7A_2-10)(2-A_2)}{2(3-A_3)}, \\ \frac{1}{2(3-A_3)}, \text{ if } \frac{(7A_2-10)(2-A_2)}{2(3-A_3)} \leq \lambda \leq \frac{(6-A_2)(2-A_2)}{2(3-A_3)}, \\ \frac{2\lambda(3-A_3) + 3A_2^2 - 8A_2 + 4}{8(2-A_2)^2(3-A_3)}, \text{ if } \lambda \geq \frac{(6-A_2)(2-A_2)}{2(3-A_3)}. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| + \left| \lambda \frac{(7A_2-10)(2-A_2)}{2(3-A_3)} \right| |a_2|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2(3-A_3)}$$

for

$$\frac{7A_2^2 - 24A_2 + 20}{2(A_3 - 3)} < \lambda \leq \frac{3A_2^2 - 8A_2 + 4}{2(A_3 - 3)}$$

and

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| + \left| \frac{(6-A_2)(2-A_2)}{2(3-A_3)} - \lambda \right| |a_2|^2 \leq \frac{1}{2(3-A_3)}$$

for

$$\frac{3A_2^2 - 8A_2 + 4}{2(A_3 - 3)} < \lambda \leq \frac{A_2^2 - 8A_2 + 12}{2(3 - A_3)},$$

where

$$A_n = t^{n-1} + t^{n-2}s + t^{n-3}s^2 + L + s^{n-1}.$$

These results are sharp.

**Proof.** If  $f(z) \in SL(s,t)$ , then by using (1.2), it follows that

$$\frac{(s-t)zf'(z)}{f(sz)-f(tz)} \in \Phi(z), \quad z \in \mathbf{U}, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\Phi(z) = \sqrt{1+z}$ . Let us define a function

$$p(z) = \frac{1 + \omega(z)}{1 - \omega(z)} = 1 + p_1z + p_2z^2 + L.$$

It is clear that  $p(z) \in P$ . This implies that

$$\omega(z) = \frac{p(z) - 1}{p(z) + 1}.$$

From (2.1), we have

$$\frac{(s-t)zf'(z)}{f(sz)-f(tz)} \in \Phi(w(z)),$$

with

$$\Phi(w(z)) = \sqrt{\frac{2p(z)}{p(z)+1}}.$$

Now

$$\sqrt{\frac{2p(z)}{p(z)+1}} = 1 + \frac{1}{4}p_1z + \left(\frac{1}{4}p_2 - \frac{5}{32}p_1^2\right)z^2 + L.$$

Similarly

$$\frac{(s-t)zf'(z)}{f(sz)-f(tz)} = 1 + (2 - A_2)a_2 z + \left( (3 - A_3)a_3 - (2 - A_2)a_2^2 A_2 \right) z^2 + L.$$

Equating the coefficients of  $z, z^2, z^3$  and  $z^4$ , we obtain

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{4(2 - A_2)} p_1 \tag{2.2}$$

$$a_3 = \frac{1}{4(3 - A_3)} \left\{ p_2 + \frac{7A_2 - 10}{8(2 - A_2)} p_1^2 \right\} \tag{2.3}$$

$$a_4 = \frac{1}{4(4 - A_2)} \left[ p_3 + \left\{ \frac{3A_2 + 2A_3 - 2A_2 A_3}{(2 - A_2)(3 - A_3)} \right\} \frac{p_1 p_2}{4} + \left\{ \frac{-2A_2^2}{(2 - A_2)^2} + \frac{(7A_2 - 10)(3A_2 + 2A_3 - 2A_2 A_3)}{(2 - A_2)^2(3 - A_3)} + 13 \right\} \frac{p_1^3}{32} \right] \tag{2.4}$$

Using (2.2) and (2.3), we have

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| = \frac{1}{4(3 - A_3)} \left| p_2 - \left\{ \lambda \frac{(3 - A_3)}{4(2 - A_2)^2} - \frac{7A_2 - 10}{8(2 - A_2)} \right\} p_1^2 \right|.$$

Hence, using Lemma 1.1, we obtain the required result.

The results are sharp for the functions  $h_i(z), i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ , such that

$$\frac{(s-t)zh'_1(z)}{h_1(z)} = \sqrt{1+z} \text{ if } \lambda < -\frac{3}{4} \text{ or } \lambda > \frac{5}{4},$$

$$\frac{(s-t)zh'_2(z)}{h_2(z)} = \sqrt{1+z^2} \text{ if } -\frac{3}{4} < \lambda < \frac{5}{4}$$

$$\frac{(s-t)zh'_3(z)}{h_3(z)} = \sqrt{1+\phi(z)} \text{ if } \lambda = -\frac{3}{4}$$

$$\frac{(s-t)zh'_4(z)}{h_4(z)} = \sqrt{1-\phi(z)} \text{ if } \lambda = \frac{5}{4},$$

where  $\phi(z) = \frac{z(z + \mu)}{1 + \mu z}, 0 \leq \mu \leq 1.$

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $f(z) \in SL(s, t)$  be the form (1.1). Then

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2(3 - A_3)} \max \left( 1, \frac{|2\lambda(3 - A_3) + 3A_2^2 - 8A_2 + 4|}{4(2 - A_2)^2} \right),$$

where  $\lambda$  is a complex number.

**Proof.** Since

$$|a_3 - \lambda a_2^2| = \frac{1}{4(3 - A_3)} \left| p_2 - \left\{ \lambda \frac{(3 - A_3)}{4(2 - A_2)^2} - \frac{7A_2 - 10}{8(2 - A_2)} \right\} p_1^2 \right|,$$

therefore, using Lemma 1.3, we get the required result. This result is sharp for

$$\frac{(s-t)zf'(z)}{f(sz)-f(tz)} = \sqrt{1+z}$$

or

$$\frac{(s-t)zf'(z)}{f(sz)-f(tz)} = \sqrt{1+z^2}$$

For  $\lambda = 1$ , we obtain the following Corollary

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $f(z) \in SL(s, t)$  be the form (1.1). Then

$$|a_3 - a_2^2| \leq \frac{1}{2(3 - A_3)}.$$

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $f(z) \in SL(s, t)$  be the form (1.1). Then

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{1}{4(3 - A_3)^2}.$$

This result is sharp.

**Proof.** Using (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$a_2 a_4 - a_3^2 = p_3 - \frac{1}{16(3 - A_3)^2} \left\{ p_2 + \frac{7A_2 - 10}{8(2 - A_2)} p_1^2 \right\}^2 + \left( \frac{p_1}{16(2 - A_2)(4 - A_4)} \right) \left[ \left\{ \frac{3A_2 + 2A_3 - 2A_2 A_3}{(2 - A_2)(3 - A_3)} - 5 \right\} \frac{p_1 p_2}{4} + \left\{ \frac{-2A_2^2}{(2 - A_2)^2} + \frac{(7A_2 - 10)(3A_2 + 2A_3 - 2A_2 A_3)}{(2 - A_2)^2(3 - A_3)} + 13 \right\} \frac{p_1^3}{32} \right]$$

or, equivalently

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| = |Ap_1 p_3 - Bp_1^2 + Cp_1 p_2 + Dp_1^4|$$

with

$$A = \frac{1}{16(2 - A_2)(4 - A_4)}, \quad B = \frac{1}{16(3 - A_3)^2},$$

$$C = \frac{A}{4} \left( \frac{3A_2 + 2A_3 - 2A_2 A_3}{(2 - A_2)(3 - A_3)} - 5 \right) - \frac{B(7A_2 - 10)}{4(2 - A_2)},$$

$$D = 4A \left( \frac{(3A_2 + 2A_3 - 2A_2 A_3)(7A_2 - 10)}{128(2 - A_2)^2(3 - A_3)} + \frac{(A_2 - 2)}{64(2 - A_2)^3} A_2^2 + \frac{13}{128} \right) - \frac{(7A_2 - 10)^2}{1024(2 - A_2)^2(3 - A_3)^2}.$$

Putting the value of  $p_2$  and  $p_3$  from Lemma 1.4 and suppose that  $p > 0$  with  $p_1 = p \in [0, 2]$ , we obtain

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| = \left| \begin{aligned} & (A - B + 2C + 4D) \frac{p^4}{4} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} Ap(4 - p^2)(1 - |x|^2)z \\ & + \frac{1}{2} (A - B + C) p^2(4 - p^2)x \\ & - \frac{1}{4} (Ap^2 + B(4 - p^2))(4 - p^2)x^2 \end{aligned} \right|$$

Using triangle inequality and taking  $|x| = \rho$  and  $|z| < 1$ ,

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| &\leq |A-B+2C+4D| \frac{\rho^4}{4} + |A-B+C| \rho^2 (4-\rho^2) \frac{\rho}{2} \\ &\frac{Ap}{2} (4-\rho^2) (1-\rho^2) + (Ap^2 + B(4-\rho^2)) (4-\rho^2) \frac{\rho^2}{2} \\ &= F(c, \rho), \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating with respect to  $\rho$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F(p, \rho)}{\partial \rho} &= \frac{1}{2} |A-B+C| \rho^2 (4-\rho^2) - Ap(4-\rho^2) \rho + \\ &(Ap^2 + B(4-\rho^2)) (4-\rho^2) \frac{\rho}{2} \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $\partial F(p, \rho) / \partial \rho > 0$ , which shows that  $F(p, \rho)$  is an increasing function on the interval  $[0, 1]$ . This implies that maximum value occurs at  $\rho = 1$ . Therefore  $F(p, \rho) = F(p, 1) = N(p)$  (say).

$$\begin{aligned} N(p) &= |A-B+2C+4D| \frac{\rho^4}{4} + \frac{1}{2} |A-B+C| \rho^2 (4-\rho^2) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} (Ap^2 + B(4-\rho^2)) (4-\rho^2) \end{aligned}$$

Differentiating again with respect to  $\rho$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} N'(p) &= (|A-B+2C+4D| - 2|A-B+C| - 4A + 4B) p^3 \\ &+ (4|A-B+C| + 2A - 4B) p \\ N''(p) &= 3(|A-B+2C+4D| - 2|A-B+C| - 4A + 4B) p^2 \\ &+ (4|A-B+C| + 2A - 4B) \end{aligned}$$

Now  $N''(p) < 0$  for  $p = 0$ , so maximum value occurs at  $p = 0$ , and hence

$$|a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| \leq \frac{1}{4(3-A_3)^2}.$$

This result is sharp for the functions

$$\frac{(s-t)zf'(z)}{f(sz) - f(tz)} = \sqrt{1+z}$$

or

$$\frac{(s-t)zf'(z)}{f(sz) - f(tz)} = \sqrt{1+z^2}$$

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $f(z) \in SL(s, t)$  be the form (1.1). Then

$$|a_2 a_3 - a_4| \leq \frac{1}{2(4-A_4)}.$$

**Proof.** By similar arguments as used in last theorem, we get the required result.

**Lemma 2.6.** Let  $f(z) \in SL(s, t)$  be the form (1.1). Then

$$|a_2| \leq \frac{1}{2(2-A_2)}, \quad |a_3| \leq \frac{1}{2(3-A_3)},$$

$$|a_4| \leq \frac{1}{2(4-A_4)}, \quad |a_5| \leq \frac{1}{2(5-A_5)}.$$

This estimations are sharp.

**Proof.** From (2.1), we can write

$$(s-t)^2 (zf'(z))^2 = (1+w(z))(f(z))^2,$$

or, equivalently

$$(s-t)^2 \left( z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} n a_n z^n \right)^2 = \left( 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n z^n \right) \left( z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n \right)^2$$

Comparing the coefficients of like powers and then simple computation gives the required result.

**Theorem 2.7.** Let  $f(z) \in SL(s, t)$  be the form (1.1). Then

$$|H_3(1)| \leq \frac{1}{4} \left[ \frac{1}{2(3-A_3)^3} + \frac{1}{(4-A_4)^2} + \frac{1}{(3-A_3)(5-A_5)} \right].$$

**Proof.** Since

$$|H_3(1)| \leq |a_3| |a_2 a_4 - a_3^2| + |a_4| |a_2 a_3 - a_4| + |a_5| |a_1 a_3 - a_2^2|$$

Using Corollary 2.3, Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$|H_3(1)| \leq \frac{1}{8(3-A_3)^3} + \frac{1}{4(4-A_4)^2} + \frac{1}{4(3-A_3)(5-A_5)}.$$

Then by simple computation we obtain the required result.

For  $s = 1$  and  $t = 0$ , we obtain the result proved in [16].

**Corollary 2.8.** Let  $f(z) \in SL$  be the form (1.1). Then

$$576 |H_3(1)| \leq 43.$$

For  $s = 1$  and  $t = -1$ , we obtain the following result

**Corollary 2.9.** Let  $f(z) \in SL(1, -1)$  be the form (1.1). Then

$$|H_3(1)| \leq \frac{1}{16}.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1]. J. Sokol, J. Stankiewicz, Radius of convexity of some subclasses of strongly starlike function, Zesz. Neuk. Politech. Rzeszowskiej Mat. 19(1996), 101-105.
- [2]. J. Sokol, Radius problem in the class  $SL^*$ , Applied Mathematics and Computation, 214(2009), 569-573.
- [3]. J. Sokol, Coefficient estimates in the a class of strongly starlike functions, Kyungpook Math Journal, 49(2009), 349-353.
- [4]. J. Sokol, On application of certain sufficient for starlikeness, Journal Math. Applicatoin, 30(2008), 40-53.
- [5]. S. A. Halim, R. Omar, Applications of certain functions associated with lemniscates Bernoulli, J. Indones. Math. Soc., 18(2)(2012), 93-99.
- [6]. R. M. Ali, N. E. Chu, V. Ravichandran, S. S Kumar, First order differential subordination for functions associated with the lemniscates of Bernoulli, Taiwanese Journal of Mathematics, 16(3)(2012), 1017-1026.

- [7]. J. W. Noonan, D. K. Thomas, On second Hankel determinant of a really mean  $p$ -valent functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 223(1976)(2), 337-346.
- [8]. M. Arif, K. I. Noor, M. Raza, Hankel determinant problem of a subclass of analytic functions, *J. Inequality Applications*, (2012), doi:10.1186/1029-242X-2012-22.
- [9]. M. Arif, K. I. Noor, M. Raza, W. Haq, some properties of a generalized class of analytic functions related with Janowski functions, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, 2012, article ID 279843.
- [10]. M. Arif, M. Raza, S. Khan, M. Ayaz, Upper bound of a third Hankel determinant for a subclass of analytic functions, *Science international Lahore*, 27(2) (2015), 917-921.
- [11]. M. Arif, J. Iqbal, Z. Ullah, M. Ayaz, Coefficient inequalities related with a subclass of univalent functions defined by Ruscheweyh operator, accepted in *Science international Lahore*.
- [12]. R. Ehrenborg, The Hankel determinant of exponential polynomials, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 107(2000)(6), 557-560.
- [13]. J. W. Layman, The Hankel transform and some of its properties, *J. Integer seq.*, 4(2001)(1), 1-11.
- [14]. A. Janteng, S. A. Halim, M. Darus, Hankel determinant for starlike and convex functions, *Int. J. Math. Anal.* 1(2007)(13), 619-625.
- [15]. K. O. Babalola, On  $H_3(1)$  Hankel determinant for some classes of univalent functions. *Inequal. Theory Appl.*, 6(2007), 1-7.
- [16]. M. Raza, S. N. Malik, Upper bound of third Hankel determinant for a class of analytic functions related with the lemniscates of Bernoulli, *Journal of Inequality and Applications*, (2013).
- [17]. W. C. Ma, D. Minda, A unified treatment of some special classes of univalent functions, In. Li, Z, Ren, F, Yang, L, Zhang, S (eda) *Proceeding of the conference on complex Analysis (Tiajin, 1992)*, 157-169. Int. Press, Cambridge (1994).
- [18]. C. Caratheodory, Uber den variabilitatsbereich der fourier'schen konstanten von positive harmonischen funktionen, *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palerme.*, 32(1911), 193-217.
- [19]. U. Grenander, G. Szego, *Toeplitz form and their applications*, University of California Press, Berkeley (1958).

