

ON (n, m) -NORMAL POWERS WEIGHTED COMPOSITION OPERATORS ON HARDY SPACE \mathbb{H}^2 .

Eiman H. Abood

Department of Mathematics, College of science, University of Baghdad, Baghdad, Iraq.

ABSTRACT: An operator $T \in B(H)$ is called (n, m) -normal powers operator if $T^n(T^m)^* = (T^m)^*T^n$ for some nonnegative integers n and m . In this paper we characterized (n, m) -normal powers weighted composition on Hardy space \mathbb{H}^2 .

Keywords: Composition operators, weighted composition operators, (n, m) -normal powers operators

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let \mathbb{U} denote the open unite disc in the complex plan, \mathbb{H}^∞ denotes the collection of all bounded holomorphic functions on \mathbb{U} and let \mathbb{H}^2 is consisting of all holomorphic functions on \mathbb{U} such that $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n z^n$ whose Maclaurin coefficients are square summable (i.e) $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty |a_n|^2 < \infty$. More precisely $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n z^n \in \mathbb{H}^2$ if and only if $\|f\| = \sum_{n=0}^\infty |a_n|^2 < \infty$. The inner product inducing the \mathbb{H}^2 norm is given by $\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n \overline{b_n}$ where $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^\infty b_n z^n$.

Given any holomorphic self-map φ of \mathbb{U} , recall that [9] the composition operator is defined as follows $C_\varphi(h) = h \circ \varphi$ ($h \in \mathbb{H}^2$). It is called the composition operator with symbol φ , is necessarily bounded. Moreover, let $f \in \mathbb{H}^\infty$, the operator T_f defined by $T_f(h(z)) = f(z)h(z)$, ($z \in \mathbb{U}, h \in \mathbb{H}^2$) is called the Toeplitz operator on \mathbb{H}^2 with symbol f . Since $f \in \mathbb{H}^\infty$, then T_f is called a holomorphic Toeplitz operator. If T_f is a holomorphic Toeplitz operator, then the operator $T_f C_\varphi$ is bounded and has the form

$$T_f C_\varphi g = f(g \circ \varphi) \quad (g \in \mathbb{H}^2).$$

It is called the weighted composition operator with symbols f and φ [7]. The weighted composition operator is denoted by

$$\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi} g = f(g \circ \varphi) \quad (g \in \mathbb{H}^2).$$

For given holomorphic self-maps f and φ of \mathbb{U} , $\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}$ is bounded operator even if $f \notin \mathbb{H}^\infty$. To see a trivial example, consider $\varphi(z) = p$ where $p \in \mathbb{U}$ and $f \in \mathbb{H}^2$, then for all $g \in \mathbb{H}^2$, we have

$$\|\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi} g\| = \|g(p)\| \|f\| = \|f\| |\langle g, K_p \rangle| \leq \|f\| \|g\| \|K_p\|.$$

In fact, if $f \in \mathbb{H}^\infty$, then $\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}$ is bounded operator on \mathbb{H}^2 with norm

$$\|\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}\| = \|T_f C_\varphi\| \leq \|f\|_\infty \|C_\varphi\| = \|f\|_\infty \sqrt{\frac{1+|\varphi(0)|}{1-|\varphi(0)|}}.$$

We collect some properties of Toeplitz and composition operators in the following known results.

Lemma (1.1): Let φ be a holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{U} , then

- (a) $C_\varphi T_f = T_{f \circ \varphi} C_\varphi$.
- (b) $T_g T_f = T_{gf}$.
- (c) $T_{f+\gamma g} = T_f + \gamma T_g$.
- (d) $T_f^* = T_{\overline{f}}$.

Proposition (1.2):[1] Let φ and ψ be two holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{U} , then

- 1. $C_\varphi^n = C_{\varphi_n}$ for all positive integer n , where $\varphi_n = \underbrace{\varphi \circ \varphi \circ \dots \circ \varphi}_{n\text{-times}}$.

2. C_φ is the identity operator if and only if φ is the identity map.

3. $C_\varphi = C_\psi$ if and only if $\varphi = \psi$.

4. The composition operator cannot be zero operator.

For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{U}$, the reproducing kernel at α , defined by

$$K_\alpha(z) = \frac{1}{1-\overline{\alpha}z}.$$

It is easily seen that the family $\{K_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \mathbb{U}}$ forms a dense subset of \mathbb{H}^2 . In [4], the adjoint of weighted composition operator on the reproducing kernel at α is as follows

$$\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}^* K_\alpha = \overline{f(\alpha)} K_{\varphi(\alpha)}.$$

If $\varphi(z) = (az + b)/cz + d$ is linear-fractional self-map of \mathbb{U} , Cowen in [5] establishes $C_\varphi^* = T_g C_\sigma T_h^*$, where the Cowen auxiliary functions g, σ and h are defined as follows:

$$g(z) = 1/(-\overline{b}z + \overline{d}), \quad \sigma(z) = (\overline{a}z - \overline{c})/(-\overline{b}z + \overline{d}) \text{ and } h(z) = cz + d.$$

$$\text{Therefore } \mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}^* = (T_f C_\varphi)^* = C_\varphi^* T_f^* = T_g C_\sigma T_h^* T_f^*.$$

Recall that an operator $T \in B(H)$ is called normal if $\|Tx\| = \|T^*x\|$ for all $x \in H$. In [2] the author introduced the (n, m) -normal powers operators as follows: an operator $T \in B(H)$ is called (n, m) -normal powers operator if $T^n(T^m)^* = (T^m)^*T^n$ for some nonnegative integers n and m . Moreover, T is called (n, m) -unitary powers operator if and only if $T^n(T^m)^* = (T^m)^*T^n = I$ for some nonnegative integers n and m . In the following theorem the author gives a necessary condition for T to be (n, m) -normal powers operators.

Proposition (1.3): Let $T \in B(H)$. If T is (n, m) -normal powers operator, then T^{nm} is normal operator.

In [4] Bourdon and Narayan characterized normal weighted composition operator on \mathbb{H}^2 . In this paper, we give a characterization of (n, m) -normal powers weighted composition operator on \mathbb{H}^2 when φ has interior fixed point of \mathbb{U} .

2. (n, m) -normal powers weighted composition operator on \mathbb{H}^2 .

First, Cowen [6] described the normal composition operator as follows.

Theorem (2.1): Let φ be a holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{U} . Then C_φ is normal if and only if $\varphi(z) = \lambda z$ for some $|\lambda| \leq 1$.

The following consequence describes the (n, m) -normal powers composition operator on \mathbb{H}^2 .

Theorem (2.2): Let φ be a holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{U} . Then C_φ is (n, m) -normal powers if and only if $\varphi(z) = \lambda z$ for some $|\lambda| \leq 1$.

Proof: If C_φ is (n, m) -normal powers, then by proposition(1.3) $C_\varphi^{nm} = C_{\varphi_{nm}}$ is normal operator. Thus by theorem(2.1) we have $\varphi_{nm}(z) = \lambda z$ for some $|\lambda| \leq 1$. Hence it is easily seen that $\varphi(z) = \lambda z$ for some $|\lambda| \leq 1$.

The converse is straightforward by the fact that every normal operator is (n, m) -normal powers ■

Corollary(2.3): Let φ be a holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{U} . Then C_φ is (n, m) -normal powers if and only if C_φ is normal.

Corollary(2.4): Let φ be a holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{U} . Then C_φ is (n, m) -unitary powers if and only if $\varphi(z) = \lambda z$ for some $|\lambda| \leq 1$ such that $\lambda^m \lambda^n = 1$ for some nonnegative integers n and m .

Proof: If C_φ is (n, m) -unitary powers, then it is (n, m) -normal powers. Thus by corollary(2.3) and theorem(2.1) $\varphi(z) = \lambda z$ for some $|\lambda| \leq 1$. Moreover, for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{U}$ we have

$$C_\varphi^n (C_\varphi^m)^* K_\alpha(z) = C_{\varphi_n} (C_{\varphi_m})^* K_\alpha(z) = (C_{\varphi_m})^* C_{\varphi_n} K_\alpha(z) = K_\alpha(z).$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_\varphi^n (C_\varphi^m)^* K_\alpha(z) &= C_{\varphi_n} (C_{\varphi_m})^* K_\alpha(z) \\ &= C_{\varphi_n} K_{\varphi_m(\alpha)}(z) = K_{\varphi_m(\alpha)}(\varphi_n(z)) \\ &= K_\alpha(z). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{U}$,

$$\frac{1}{1 - \overline{\varphi_m(\alpha)} \varphi_n(z)} = \frac{1}{1 - \overline{\alpha} z}.$$

$$\frac{1}{1 - \overline{\lambda^m \lambda^n} \overline{\alpha} z} = \frac{1}{1 - \overline{\alpha} z}.$$

Thus $\lambda^m \lambda^n = 1$. The converse is clear ■

Proposition (2.5): Let φ be a non-constant holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{U} and $f \in \mathbb{H}^\infty$. If $\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}$ is (n, m) -normal powers operator, then either $f = 0$ or f never vanishes on \mathbb{U} .

Proof: Assume that $\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}$ is (n, m) -normal powers operator such that $f(\beta) = 0$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{U}$. Thus $\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}^* K_\beta = \overline{f(\beta)} K_{\varphi(\beta)} = 0$. But by proposition(1.3) $\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}^{nm}$ is normal. Hence

$$\|\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}^{nm} K_\beta\| = \|(\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}^{nm})^* K_\beta\| = \|(\overline{f(\beta)})^{nm} K_{\varphi(\beta)}\| = 0.$$

Therefore for each $\beta \in \mathbb{U}$ $\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}^{nm} K_\beta = 0$. This implies that $\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}^{nm} = 0$. Hence by [8] we have

$$\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}^{nm} = T_{f(f \circ \varphi)(f \circ \varphi_2) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{nm-1})} C_{\varphi_{nm}} = 0. \quad \text{But by proposition(1.2) (4) we have } T_{f(f \circ \varphi)(f \circ \varphi_2) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{nm-1})} = 0.$$

It follows that $f(\varphi_i(\mathbb{U})) = 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq nm - 1$. But φ is non-constant, then by open mapping theorem $f = 0$ on \mathbb{U} .

Proposition (2.6): Let φ be a non-constant holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{U} , $f \in \mathbb{H}^\infty \setminus \{0\}$. If $\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}$ is (n, m) -normal powers operator, then φ is univalent ■

Proof: If φ is not univalent on \mathbb{U} , then there exists $a, b \in \mathbb{U}$ such that $a \neq b, \varphi(a) = \varphi(b)$. Since $f \neq 0$, then by proposition(2.5) we get that $f(a) \neq 0, f(b) \neq 0$. Put $g = \frac{K_a}{\overline{f(a)}} - \frac{K_b}{\overline{f(b)}}$. Since $a \neq b$, then $g \neq 0$. Therefore, it is easily seen that $\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}^* g = K_{\varphi(a)} - K_{\varphi(b)} = 0$. But by proposition(1.3) $\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}^{nm}$ is normal, then $\|\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}^{nm} g\| = \|(\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}^{nm})^* g\| = 0$. This implies that $\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}^{nm} g = 0$. Therefore $\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}^{nm} g = T_{f(f \circ \varphi)(f \circ \varphi_2) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{nm-1})} C_{\varphi_{nm}} g = 0$. It implies that $(f \circ \varphi(z))(f \circ \varphi_2(z)) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{nm-1}(z))g(\varphi_{nm}(z)) = 0$. Since $f \neq 0$, then by proposition(2.5) $f(\varphi_i(\mathbb{U})) \neq 0$ for each $1 \leq i \leq nm - 1$. It follows that $g(\varphi_{nm}(\mathbb{U})) = 0$. But φ is non-constant, then by open mapping theorem $g = 0$ on \mathbb{U} , which a contradiction. Therefore, φ is univalent ■

Now we are ready to discuss the sufficient condition for (n, m) -normal powers operator when φ has an interior fixed point of \mathbb{U} .

Proposition (2.7): Let φ be a holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{U} , $f \in \mathbb{H}^\infty$ such that $\varphi(p) = p$ for some $p \in \mathbb{U}$. If $\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}$ is (n, m) -normal powers operator, then

$$f(f \circ \varphi)(f \circ \varphi_2) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{nm-1}) = \frac{(f(p))^{nm} K_p}{K_p \circ \varphi_{nm}}.$$

Proof: Since $\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}$ is (n, m) -normal powers, then by proposition(1.3) $\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}^{nm}$ is normal. But $(\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}^{nm})^* K_p = (\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}^*)^{nm} K_p = (\overline{f(p)})^{nm} K_p$. Hence K_p is an eigenvector for $(\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}^{nm})^*$ corresponding to eigenvalue $(\overline{f(p)})^{nm}$. But $\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}^{nm}$ is normal, then K_p is an eigenvector for $\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}^{nm}$ corresponding to eigenvalue $f(p)^{nm}$ (see [3]). Therefore $\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}^{nm} K_p = f(p)^{nm} K_p$. Thus

$$T_{f(f \circ \varphi)(f \circ \varphi_2) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{nm-1})} C_{\varphi_{nm}} K_p = f(p)^{nm} K_p. \quad \text{It follows that } f(f \circ \varphi)(f \circ \varphi_2) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{nm-1})(K_p \circ \varphi_{nm}) = f(p)^{nm} K_p.$$

This implies that

$$f(f \circ \varphi)(f \circ \varphi_2) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{nm-1}) = \frac{(f(p))^{nm} K_p}{K_p \circ \varphi_{nm}} \quad \blacksquare$$

Now, since $K_0 \equiv 1$, then by Proposition(2.7) we get an immediate result.

Corollary (2.8): Let φ be a holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{U} , $f \in \mathbb{H}^\infty$ such that $\varphi(0) = 0$. If $\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}$ is (n, m) -normal powers operator, then $f(f \circ \varphi)(f \circ \varphi_2) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{nm-1})$ is constant and $C_{\varphi_{nm}}$ is (n, m) -normal powers.

From corollary(2.8) and theorem(2.2) we conclude the following consequence.

Corollary (2.9): Let φ be a holomorphic self-map of \mathbb{U} with $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $f \in \mathbb{H}^\infty$ such that $|f(z)| = 1$ on \mathbb{U} . Then $\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}$ is (n, m) -normal powers operator if and only if $f(f \circ \varphi)(f \circ \varphi_2) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{nm-1})$ is constant and $\varphi(z) = \lambda z$ for some $|\lambda| \leq 1$.

Proposition(2.10): Let φ be a linear fractional self-map of \mathbb{U} and $f(f \circ \varphi)(f \circ \varphi_2) \dots (f \circ \varphi_{i-1}) = K_{\sigma_{i(0)}}(z)$, $i = n, m$.

Then $\mathcal{W}_{f,\varphi}$ is (n, m) -normal powers operator if and only if

$$\frac{\overline{d_m} d_n}{(\overline{d_m} d_n - \overline{c_m} c_n) - (\overline{b_m} d_n - \overline{a_m} c_n) z} C_{\varphi_n \circ \sigma_m} = \frac{\overline{d_m} d_n}{(\overline{d_m} d_n - \overline{b_m} b_n) - (\overline{b_m} a_n - \overline{d_m} c_n) z} C_{\sigma_m \circ \varphi_n}$$

where σ_i is the Cowen auxiliary function of φ_i .

Proof: Recall that if φ is a linear fractional self-map of \mathbb{U} , then $C_\varphi^* = T_g C_\sigma T_h^*$, where the Cowen auxiliary functions g, σ and h are defined as follows:

$$g(z) = 1/(-\overline{b}z + \overline{d}), \quad \sigma(z) = (\overline{a}z - \overline{c})/(-\overline{b}z + \overline{d}) \quad \text{and} \quad h(z) = cz + d.$$

Since φ is a linear fractional self-map of \mathbb{U} , then it is clear that C_{φ_i} is also a linear fractional self-map of \mathbb{U} . Therefore, $C_{\varphi_i}^* = T_{g_i} C_{\sigma_i} T_{h_i}^*$, where the Cowen auxiliary functions g_i, σ_i and h_i are defined as follows:

$$g_i(z) = \frac{1}{-\overline{b}_i z + \overline{d}_i}, \quad \sigma_i(z) = \frac{\overline{a}_i z - \overline{c}_i}{-\overline{b}_i z + \overline{d}_i}$$

and

$$h_i(z) = c_i z + d_i.$$

Note that, $K_{\sigma_{i(0)}}(z) = \frac{d_i}{c_i z + d_i}$, then $K_{\sigma_{i(0)}}(z) h_i = d_i$, $i = n, m$. Thus for each $v \in \mathbb{H}^2$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (W_{f,\varphi}^m)^* W_{f,\varphi}^n v \\
 &= \left(T_{f(f\circ\varphi)(f\circ\varphi_2)\dots(f\circ\varphi_{m-1})} C_{\varphi_m} \right)^* T_{f(f\circ\varphi)(f\circ\varphi_2)\dots(f\circ\varphi_{n-1})} C_{\varphi_n} v \\
 &= C_{\varphi_m}^* T_{\overline{f(f\circ\varphi)(f\circ\varphi_2)\dots(f\circ\varphi_{m-1})}} T_{f(f\circ\varphi)(f\circ\varphi_2)\dots(f\circ\varphi_{n-1})} C_{\varphi_n} v \\
 &= T_{g_m} C_{\sigma_m} T_{h_m}^* T_{\overline{f(f\circ\varphi)(f\circ\varphi_2)\dots(f\circ\varphi_{m-1})}} T_{f(f\circ\varphi)(f\circ\varphi_2)\dots(f\circ\varphi_{n-1})} C_{\varphi_n} v \\
 &= T_{g_m} C_{\sigma_m} T_{\overline{h_m K_{\sigma_m(0)}}} T_{K_{\sigma_n(0)}} C_{\varphi_n} v \\
 &= \overline{d_m} T_{g_m} C_{\sigma_m} T_{K_{\sigma_n(0)}} C_{\varphi_n} v \\
 &= \overline{d_m} T_{g_m} T_{K_{\sigma_n(0)} \circ \sigma_m} C_{\sigma_m} C_{\varphi_n} v \\
 &= \overline{d_m} T_{g_m(K_{\sigma_n(0)} \circ \sigma_m)} C_{\varphi_n \circ \sigma_m} v \\
 &= \overline{d_m} \cdot g_m \cdot K_{\sigma_n(0)} \circ \sigma_m \cdot v \circ \varphi_n \circ \sigma_m.
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & W_{f,\varphi}^n (W_{f,\varphi}^m)^* v \\
 &= T_{f(f\circ\varphi)(f\circ\varphi_2)\dots(f\circ\varphi_{n-1})} C_{\varphi_n} \left(T_{f(f\circ\varphi)(f\circ\varphi_2)\dots(f\circ\varphi_{m-1})} C_{\varphi_m} \right)^* v \\
 &= T_{f(f\circ\varphi)(f\circ\varphi_2)\dots(f\circ\varphi_{n-1})} C_{\varphi_n} C_{\varphi_m}^* T_{\overline{f(f\circ\varphi)(f\circ\varphi_2)\dots(f\circ\varphi_{m-1})}} v \\
 &= T_{f(f\circ\varphi)(f\circ\varphi_2)\dots(f\circ\varphi_{n-1})} C_{\varphi_n} T_{g_m} C_{\sigma_m} T_{h_m}^* T_{\overline{f(f\circ\varphi)(f\circ\varphi_2)\dots(f\circ\varphi_{m-1})}} v \\
 &= T_{K_{\sigma_n(0)}} C_{\varphi_n} T_{g_m} C_{\sigma_m} T_{\overline{h_m K_{\sigma_m(0)}}} v \\
 &= \overline{d_m} T_{K_{\sigma_n(0)}} T_{g_m \circ \varphi_n} C_{\varphi_n} C_{\sigma_m} v \\
 &= \overline{d_m} T_{K_{\sigma_n(0)}(g_m \circ \varphi_n)} C_{\sigma_m \circ \varphi_n} v \\
 &= \overline{d_m} \cdot K_{\sigma_n(0)} \cdot g_m \circ \varphi_n \cdot v \circ \sigma_m \circ \varphi_n.
 \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

REFERENCES:

- [1] Abood E. H., The composition operator on Hardy space H^2 , Ph.D. Thesis, University of Baghdad, 2003.
- [2] Abood E. H. and Al-loz, M. A., On some generalizations of normal operators on Hilbert space, Iraqi J. of Science, 56(2015), No. 2C, 1786-1794.
- [3] Berberian, S. K., Introduction to Hilbert space, Sec. Ed., Chelsea Publishing Com., New York, N. Y., 1976.
- [4] Bourdon Paul S. and Narayan S., Normal weighted composition operators on the Hardy space, J. Math. Anal. Appl.,367(2010),5771-5801.
- [5] Cowen, C. C., Linear fractional composition operator on H^2 , Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 11(1988), 151-160.
- [6] Cowen, C. C., Subnormality and composition operator on H^2 , J. of Func. Ana., 18(1988), 298-313.
- [7] Gunatillake, G., Weighted composition operators, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Baghdad, 2005.
- [8] Gunatillake, G., Invertible weighted composition operator, J. of Func. Ana., 261(2011), 831-860.
- [9] Nordgren, E. A., Composition operator, Can. J. Math., 20(1968), 442-449.