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ABSTRACT: The purpose of this study is to investigate the relationship between perceived job autonomy and turnover intention in sales & marketing staff. Perceived job autonomy is considered as one of the most studied dimensions of Job Characteristic Model. But still, there is confusion in the scholars about predictive role of perceived job autonomy in turnover intention. In the lines of more complex research on this relation, I had investigated the relationship between perceived job autonomy and turnover intention. Predictive role of distributive justice & procedural will have needs to be proven in different contextual influences. Interactive effect of distributive justice and perceived job autonomy on turnover intention is also not tested before. I had collected data from 279 marketing and sales managers working in financial institutions, FMCG industries, Pharmaceutical Industry & Banks. Strong and direct negative relation was found between perceived job autonomy, distributive justice & procedural justice and turnover intention. Distributive and procedural justice are also amplifying the negative relationship of perceived job autonomy and turnover intention. Limitation and future direction for research are also discussed.
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INTRODUCTION:
Initial writings on work design were found in the late 17th century. Division of labor is one of the initial concepts which will ultimately increase the productivity of the workers [1]. First, two decades of 20th century referred to the era of scientific research. In the scientific era, the main focus is on achieving high worker efficiency through simplification and specialization of work process [2]. But after the study of Hawthorn effect, a new paradigm shift comes in the management literature known as human relation movement. Numbers of theories had been presented on motivation and job redesign after 1940. But, the most influential theory was motivation hygiene theory which ultimately becomes bases for job characteristic model by Hackman & Oldham [3]. There are five dimensions (“Skill Variety”, “Task Identity”, “Task Significance”, “Autonomy”, “Feedback”), one of the most studies dimension is job autonomy and its impact on several outcomes [4]. Job autonomy defined as “the degree to which the job provides substantial freedom, independence, and discretion to the individual in scheduling the work and in determining the procedures to be used in carrying it out” [4]. In the past 3 decades, many studies had been conducted to investigate the impact of job autonomy on several outcomes. A meta-analysis of these studies concluded that job autonomy is positively related with the job satisfaction and organizational commitment while negatively related with the burnout. The results of meta-analysis had been showed no impact of job autonomy on turnover intention. [5]. Job satisfaction is negatively associated with the turnover intention [6]. Job satisfaction and organizational commitment are negatively related to turnover intention. Another meta-analysis describes us that perceived job autonomy is directly less influential with the turnover intention [7]. But few studies result shows us opposite picture like; job autonomy has direct negative impact on turnover intention [8]. These studies showed that still there is a gap in understanding the impact of perceived job autonomy on turnover intention. For the generalizability of this relationship in all industries and nature of jobs, and on call of more extensive research, I am going to conduct this study.

I am also conducting the study on the calls of different researchers [4]. This study suggested in the future direction that relationship between perceived job autonomy & turnover intention is investigated with the moderating effect of organizational justice perception. Major discussion started on the topic of justice from the equity theory [1]. In the equity theory, the main focus is on distributive justice. How rewards are distributed. Employees equalize his output and his coworker output with respect to their input. If they think that their rewards are not fairly treated then they perceive injustice organizational behavior [1]. Later on, researchers had worked on the construct of organizational dimension. They identified that organizational justice was not a single construct. It had more dimensions for example Procedural justice [9]. Procedural justice is defined what process organization will use for giving rewards to employees. How much fair is your reward process [10]?

LITERATURE REVIEW:
Perceived Job Autonomy & Turnover Intention:
Work design concept is primarily related to the division of the labor [1] and simplification of the tasks [2] main focus on improving the productivity with the same labor. Later on, researchers link job design with the motivation theories like Herzberg [11] hygiene theory. But one of the most important developments in the literature of work design is job characteristic model by [3]. In JCM there are five dimensions are purposed. Which includes (“Skill Variety”, “Task Identity”, “Task Significance”, “Autonomy”, “Feedback”), many studies has been conducted to investigate the relationship between JCM and employee outcome behavior like job satisfaction & motivation. One of the most studied dimension is Job autonomy, How much freedom in planning things, making decisions, and choose when and how to perform the task [12]. Results of Meta-analysis conducted on 259 studies of job autonomy showed that job autonomy has a positive relationship with the intrinsic motivation, job satisfaction, & Organization Commitment. Same meta-analysis showed a negative relationship with the burnout & stress. But this meta-analysis showed no impact of job...
autonomy on turnover intention. [5]. But another meta-
analysis showed a negative relationship between perceived
job autonomy and turnover intention [13]. Few individual
studies also showed a negative relationship between
perceived job autonomy and turnover relationship [14, 8].
For generalizability of theory, researchers need to conduct
more extensive research in this domain. There must be some
reasons that why these studies showed different results like
[8], conducted the research on social workers and they have
found the negative relationship between perceived job
autonomy and turnover intention. So we need to investigate
the relationship between different Jobs. I think nature of jobs
may also have the significant impact on this relationship. The
research showed different result may be caused by the
different sample design. For generalizability of the theory, it
is necessary to take the data from different industries and job
position to apply the concept. In this study, I will take data
from the marketing & sales Managers of financial services
(Currency Exchange, Banks, Insurance Companies), Medical
Sales Representatives & Marketing/Sales staff of FMCG.
Take examples of sales team of different companies. Both
teams have given the same targets, but one group has given
complete autonomy on performing his task while the second
team has to follow strict guidelines from the company. But
same cases, if you will apply in any other natures of job you
may find different results. So, according to my hypothesis
different nature of jobs may also have the significant impact
on the relationship between perceived job autonomy and
turnover intention.
H1: Perceived Job Autonomy will be negatively related
with the Turnover Intentions.
Organizational Justice and Turnover Intention:
DISTRIBUTIVE JUSTICE:
One of the basic theory which addresses the concept of
Justice has emerged as equity theory [15]. This theory is
mainly focused on distributive justice. Distributive justice is
one of the main focused areas of the equity theory. How
much fairly rewards are distributed. Adams also suggested
comparing the input and output with the others. On the basis
of perceived equity of input and output of others, employees
exhibit respective behaviors. One of the other definitions of
procedural justice is “appraisal of the fairness of his/her
rewards [outcomes] given his/her inputs” [16]. Most of the
literature on distributive justice is based on basic works of
Adams [17]. Adams [17] theory is based on equity theory.
Employees believe that if distributions of rewards are
favorable than this will be helpful in obtaining personal goals
[18]. Distributive justice is a strong predictor of work-place
behaviors [19]. Employees with the low perceive distributive
justice will have more chances that they will leave the
organization [20]. Two meta-analysis conduct by [21] & [22]
of these studies suggested that distributive justice has strong
negative impact on turnover intention. Employees who have
high precipitation of fairness in reward distribution will have
low intentions to leave organizations.
H2: Distributive Justice will have the negative relationship Turnover Intentions.
PROCEDURAL JUSTICE:
Distributive justice did not completely predict the behavior of
employee’s outcomes [23]. Few new dimensions of
organizational justice were purposed and established some
principles in three articles by leventhal and his fellow
researchers [9, 10] named as procedural justice, how much
fair is the procedure by which you distribute the rewards. So
there are more concerns about the fairness of procedures.
They also identify six basic principles for judging the
procedure most commonly known as “Leventhals rules”, that
how much procedure is fair. 1). How much consistent are
your procedures? If there were any variations found in
procedure with respect to department or person. Procedures
are considered as unfair. 2). How much accurate information
is used in systems? Basic information behind decision must
be accurate otherwise, procedures are not fair. The accuracy
of the information is very important. 3) The correct ability
rule means how your system changes the unfair decisions. 4)
The fourth rule is bias suppression, it means that personal
opinion and self-interest of individuals did not affect decision
making and in allocations of resources and rewards. 5)
Leventhals fifth rule is representatives rule; it means that all
stakeholders will be present in allocations of resources. All
parties which may effect from that decision will be presented
in the process. 6) The last rule is that how much your process
is ethical. You take care of moral and ethical values of the
perceiver in resources allocation process. Procedural justice is
widely studied in last 3 decades. Its effect on different
outcomes is studied by different authors in different context.
Meta-analysis of these studies showed that procedural justice
is strongly and negatively related with the turnover intention
[22]. Another meta-analysis shows that procedural justice is
more strongly related to the withdrawal (Absenteeism &
turnover) than distributive justice [21].
H3: Procedural Justice will have the negative relationship
with Turnover Intentions.
SOCIAL EXCHANGE THEORY & EQUITY THEORY:
Social exchange theory says that relationships between
parties are based on mutual exchange. (In the business
context I will treat organization or employer and employee as
parties. One party gives favor to the second party. The other
party will also respond accordingly [24]. If an exchange
between organizational and employee is not balanced than
relationship may not exist long. As previous research showed
that procedural justice is a strong predictor of employee
outcomes [21].
Employees who have perception, that procedure of awarding
rewards is fair will feel obligation with the positive work-
related attitudes [25], and also have high perceived job
autonomy have very low intention to leave the organization.
On the basis of supporting theory and results, I predict that
procedural justice amplifies the negative relationship between
perceived job autonomy and turnover. Equity theory by
Adams [17] says that people compare their input and
Rewards with others. Social exchange theory also argues that
mutual exchange between two parties will not prolong if
exchanges between two parties is balanced. If distribution
system of rewards is fair and also balanced in the
organizations, employee intention to leave is less [20]. So
when we will give both autonomy and distribute justice in to
the employees. In this case, intention to leaves the
organization is low.
H4: Procedural Justice will amplify the negative
relationship between Perceived job autonomy & turnover
H5: Distributive Justice will amplify negative relationship between perceived job autonomy and turnover intention.

METHODODOLOGY
I tested the relationship in this study on the call of Dysvik & Kuvaas [4] for more extensive research on perceived job autonomy and turnover intention with the moderator of organizational justice.

Procedure and sample:
I had collected data from sales and marketing managers of four different service industries (FMCG, Financial Services, Banking, and Insurance). I had drawn sample on the connivance base from the personal contacts of first authors. Questionnaires were distributed between 680 questionnaires. I had send reminders after 15 days of distribution of questionnaires. I had been able to receive 279 responses from total 680 respondents. Overall response rate is 41%. Among Respondents 80 from financial service, 95 from banking sectors, 48 from Pharmaceutical sector and 56 from FMCG sector. From total respondents 68% are male and 22% are female. While disturbing questionnaires to respondents. I had informed about the purpose of research to all respondents. I assure confidentiality to all respondents and participation in research is voluntary.

Measure:
I had used 5 points likert scale in our structured questionnaire. In the questionnaire 1 means (strongly disagree) and respectively 5 mean (Strongly agree). Perceived job autonomy was measured by nine items scale developed by Morgeson and Humphrey [12] and also used by Dysvik & Kuvaas [4]. An example item from nine scales is, “The job allows me to make my own decisions about how to schedule my work”. The turnover intention was measured by the five items used by Dysvik & Kuvaas [4]. Example items of this scale are “We often think about quitting my present job”. For measuring distributive justice i used four items scale developed by leventhal [26] and also used by Colquitt [27] in construct by validation. The example item from the scale is “Does your (outcome) reflect the effort you have put into your work?” Procedural justice is measured by seven items scale developed by Colquitt [27] in construct validation of organizational justice. This measured is also used by Kuvaas [28] study. The Example item from seven point scale is “Have those procedures been applied consistently?”

I had used SPSS for examination of data. First of all, i check scale reliability of all items. Nine items perceived job autonomy scale had the alpha reliability of .976. Seven items procedural justice scale and four items distributive justice scale had the alpha reliability of .947 and .931. Five items scale of turnover intention had the alpha reliability score of .94. Alpha scores are also showed in table 1. The standard deviation of perceived job autonomy was 1.45, while mean value was 3.14. Procedural justice and distributive justice had standard deviation 1.116 and 1.25. Mean values of procedural and distributive justice were 3.25 and 3.66. the turnover intention had mean values of 1.92 and standard deviation of 1.

I had study relationship between variable by using first-order Pearson correlations. The values of correlations between perceived job autonomy and procedural justice and distributive justice had as follow .319 & .341. While correlations between perceived job autonomy and turnover intention had -.594. The correlations between procedural justice and perceived job autonomy had .319. The Correlations between procedural justice and distributive justice had .947. The correlations between procedural justice and turnover intention had -.634. The distributive justice had correlations value of .341 with the perceived job autonomy. Correlations value of distributive justice with the procedural justice was .170. The correlations value of distributive justice with turnover intention had -.634. The turnover intention had correlations value of -.594 with the perceived job autonomy. Correlations value of turnover intention with the procedural justice was .634. Correlations value of turnover intention with the distributive justice was -.555. Results are also showed in table number 1.

I had used stepwise regression analysis technique to check the relationship of variables. To check the direct effect of perceived job autonomy, procedural justice & distributive justice on turnover intention. I had entered all variables mean value at step 1. At step 2, I had entered interactive terms of procedural justice & procedural justice with perceived job autonomy. Perceived job autonomy had negatively related with turnover intention, the beta value had -.22 and the p-value had less than .05. Procedural justice had the negative relation with turnover intention, the beta value had -.40 and the p-value lest than .05. Distributive justice had also negatively related to turnover intention, the beta value of the relationship is -.29 and the p-value is less than .05.

RESULTS
Table 1: Means, Standard Deviations, Correlations, and Reliabilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name</th>
<th>Standard Deviation</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Job Autonomy</td>
<td>1.45</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedural Justice</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>.319**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distributive Justice</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>.341**</td>
<td>.170**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turnover Intention</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>-.594**</td>
<td>-.634**</td>
<td>-.555**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n=279

**. Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

*. Alpha reliabilities

Table 2: Main Effects and Moderation Step Wise regression Analysis Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Name</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>AR2</th>
<th>R2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perceived Job Autonomy</td>
<td>-.221*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedural Justice</td>
<td>-.404*</td>
<td>.069*</td>
<td>.691*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distributive Justice</td>
<td>-.295*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactive effect of Procedural Justice &amp; Perceived Job Autonomy</td>
<td>.127*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactive effect of Distributive Justice &amp; Perceived Job Autonomy</td>
<td>.157*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dependent Variable: Turnover Intention

n = 279

p <.05

The beta value of interaction term of procedural justice and perceived job autonomy is .157 with the p-value less than .05. The beta value of interaction term between distributive justice and perceived job autonomy is .127. I also plotted these values in graph 1 and 2. The result of graph showed that procedural and distributive justices are amplifying the negative relationship between perceived job autonomy and turnover Intention. I had plotted the result of interaction term on graphs. As graph number 1 showed that sales managers who have the higher level of perceived job autonomy and procedural justice is also high. The turnover intention of those employees is very low.

I had plotted the interactive effect of distributive justice & perceived job autonomy in graph 2. Results of graph showed that employees who have the high value of perceived job autonomy & distributive justice have the lower turnover rate. I had plotted the result of interaction term on graphs. As graph number 1 showed that sales managers who have the higher level of perceived job autonomy and procedural justice is also high. Turnover intention to those employees is very low. I had plotted the interactive effect of distributive justice & perceived job autonomy in graph 2. Results of graph showed that employees who have the higher value of perceived job autonomy & distributive justice have the lower
intention to leave.

**DISCUSSION**

The first purpose of this study is to prove the relationship of perceived job autonomy and turnover intention. Weather Relationships between these variables will be influenced by nature of jobs. Results of our sample showed a negative relationship between perceived job autonomy and turnover intention. So our first hypothesis is accepted. These results are also in lines of the previous studies ([14, 8]. Kim [8] study was conducted on social workers, while McKnight et al., [14] studies showed that task autonomy has the negative relationship with turnover intention in programmers & analyst. While Gottlieb, & Newby-Clark [29] find the negative relationship between perceived job autonomy and turnover intention in employees working in human resource services.

Our study result showed that distributive justice is also negatively related with the turnover intention. So our third hypothesis is also accepted. These result also in the lines of previous studies. Two meta-analysis conduct by Colquitt et al., [21] & Charash & Spector, [27] of these studies suggested that distributive justice is strongly negatively related with the turnover intention.

Procedural justice showed the negative relationship with turnover intention. So our third hypothesis is also accepted. This result is on the lines of previous studies. The meta-analysis conducted by Charash & Spector [27] also report the negative relationship with turnover intention and procedural justice. In this study, I concluded that procedural justice is more strongly related with turnover intention. This result is also in the lines of previous studies. The meta-analysis shows that procedural justice is more strongly related with the withdrawal (Absenteism & turnover) than distributive justice (Colquitt et al., [21]. As graph number 1 showed that sales managers who have the higher level of perceived job autonomy and procedural justice is also high. Turnover intention to those employees is very low. While those employees who have low procedural justice, but have perceived job autonomy have high turnover intention. The fourth hypothesis of our study is accepted. This relationship was not tested before.

I had plotted the interactive effect of distributed justice & perceived job autonomy in graph 2. Results of graph showed that employees who have the higher value of perceived job autonomy & distributive justice have the lower turnover rate. While those employees who have the lower distributive justice perception has higher turnover intention. So our 5th hypothesis is also accepted.

The result I concluded had certain limitation. I collected data at once, so it is difficult to eliminate chances for inference of causality and reverse causality. In future, we need to investigate this relationship in longitude and experimental studies. Second I concluded research on small sample size which is based on connivance sample. Third limitation you can use actual turnover instead of turnover intention. However, you can take turnover intention as a proxy for the actual turnover. It is closely related with the actual turnover intention [30]. You need to study the relationships of perceived job autonomy and turnover intention in different nature of jobs and cross culture. It is also needed to check the relationship with larger sample size. You will also take data from different industries. You can also need to check moderation effect of procedural and distributive justice across different culture and in the different relations. You can also test other two dimensions of organizational justice in the same relationship. You also repeat this study with different sampling techniques, sample size and with different nature of jobs.

**Implication for Practice:**

The Result of this study showed that perceived job autonomy has the negative relationship with turnover intention in sales and marketing staff. I suggest that if you empower your sales and marketing team. They may likely to think less about leaving the organization, or they waste their time for searching other jobs. They can properly concentrate on the job and devote full cognitive resources to his jobs. I also recommend practitioners that if you provide procedural justice it will also decrease the turnover rate in your organization. One of the strongest predictors of turnover intention found in our study is procedural justice. Distributive justice has the negative impact on turnover intention, but the intensity of the relationship is low as compared to procedural justice.
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