

ON JORDAN * CENTRALIZERS IN SEMIPRIME Γ -RINGS WITH INVOLUTION

Abdul Rauf Khan *, Muhammad Anwar Chaudhry and Imran Javaid

khankts@gmail.com, chaudhry@bzu.edu.pk and imranjavaid45@gmail.com

Centre for advanced studies in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan 60800, Pakistan.

ABSTRACT: In this paper we prove that if M is a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring with involution satisfying $x\gamma y\alpha z = x\alpha y\gamma z$ and $f : M \rightarrow M$ an additive mapping such that

$$2f(x\beta x) = f(x)\beta x^* + x^*\beta f(x) \text{ for all } x \in M, \beta \in \Gamma, \text{ then } f \text{ is a Jordan }^* \text{-centralizer.}$$

Keywords: Semiprime Γ -ring, involution, * -derivation, Jordan * -derivation, left (right) Jordan * -centralizer, Jordan * -centralizer, commutators.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 17D20, 16W10, 47B47, 16N60.

* Corresponding author: khankts@gmail.com

1 INTRODUCTION

Nobusawa [1] introduced the notion of a Γ -ring, a notion more general than a ring. Barnes [2] slightly weakened the conditions in the definition of a Γ -ring given by Nobusawa. After the study of Γ -rings by Nobusawa [1] and Barnes [2] many researchers have done a lot of work on Γ -rings and have obtained some generalizations of the corresponding results in ring theory (see [3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8] and references therein). In particular, Barnes [2] and Kyuno [5, 6] studied the structure of Γ -rings and obtained various generalizations of the corresponding results of ring theory.

2 Preliminaries

If M and Γ are additive abelian groups and there exists a mapping $(., ., .) : M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ which satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) (a, β, b) is an element of M ,
- (ii) $(a + b)\alpha = a\alpha + b\alpha$,
 $a(\alpha + \beta)b = a\alpha b + a\beta b$, and
 $a\alpha(b + c) = a\alpha b + a\alpha c$,
- (iii) $(a\alpha b)\beta c = a\alpha(b\beta c)$, for $a, b, c \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$, then M is called a Γ -ring [2].

It is known that from (i)–(iii) the following result follows:

(*) $0\alpha b = a0b = a\alpha 0 = 0$ for all a and b in M and all α in Γ [2].

An additive mapping * on a Γ -ring M is said to be an involution if $(x\gamma y)^* = y^* \gamma x^*$ and

$(x^*)^* = x$ for all $x, y \in M, \gamma \in \Gamma$. A Γ -ring M is said to be commutative if $x\beta y = y\beta x$ for all $x, y \in M, \beta \in \Gamma$.

A Γ -ring M is said to be 2-torsion free if $2x = 0$ implies $x = 0$ for all $x \in M$. Moreover, the set $Z(M) = \{x \in M : x\alpha y = y\alpha x \forall \alpha \in \Gamma, y \in M\}$ is called the centre of the Γ -ring M . We shall write $[x, y]_\alpha = x\alpha y - y\alpha x$, $x, y \in M$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma$. We shall

make use of the basic commutator identities:

$$[x\alpha y, z]_\beta = [x, z]_\beta \alpha y + x[\alpha, \beta]_z y + x\alpha[y, z]_\beta \text{ and}$$

$$[x, y\alpha z]_\beta = [x, y]_\beta \alpha z + y[\alpha, \beta]_x z + y\alpha[x, z]_\beta,$$

for all $x, y, z \in M$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$. If a Γ -ring satisfies the assumption

(**) $a\alpha b\beta c = a\beta b\alpha c$ for all $a, b, c \in M, \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$.

Then the previous identities reduce to $[x\beta y, z]_\alpha = [x, z]_\alpha \beta y + x\beta[y, z]_\alpha$ and

$$[x, y\beta z]_\alpha = [x, y]_\alpha \beta z + y\beta[x, z]_\alpha, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in M \text{ and } \alpha, \beta \in \Gamma.$$

An additive mapping $D : M \rightarrow M$ is called a * -derivation on M if $D(x\gamma y) = D(x)\gamma y^* + x\gamma D(y)$ for all $x, y \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. An additive mapping

$D : M \rightarrow M$ is called a Jordan * -derivation on M if $D(x\gamma x) = D(x)\gamma x^* + x\gamma D(x)$ for all $x \in M$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma$. A mapping F from M to M is said to be commuting on M if $[F(x), x]_\gamma = 0$ and centralizing on

M if $[F(x), x]_\gamma \in Z(M)$ for all $x \in M, \gamma \in \Gamma$. An additive mapping $T : M \rightarrow M$ is said to be left (right) * -centralizer

if $T(x\gamma y) = T(x)\gamma y^*$ ($T(x\gamma y) = x^* \gamma T(y)$) for all $x, y \in M, \gamma \in \Gamma$. A * -centralizer is an additive mapping which is both a left and a right * -centralizer. An additive mapping $T : M \rightarrow M$ is said to be Jordan left (right) * -centralizer if

$$T(x\gamma x) = T(x)\gamma x^* \text{ (} T(x\gamma x) = x^* \gamma T(x) \text{)} \text{ for all } x \in M, \gamma \in \Gamma.$$

Let R be a ring. It is known [10] that if a mapping $T : R \rightarrow R$ is both a left and a right Jordan centralizer then T satisfies $2T(x^2) = T(x)x + xT(x)$ for all $x \in R$ but

an additive mapping $T : R \rightarrow R$ satisfying $2T(x^2) = T(x)x + xT(x)$ for all $x \in R$ need not be a left and a right Jordan centralizer. But in [10] he has also proved that if a 2-torsion free semiprime ring R admits an additive mapping T satisfying $2T(x^2) = T(x)x + xT(x)$ for all $x \in R$, then T is a left and a right centralizer.

In this paper, motivated from the following Example 1.1, we generalize the identity in [10] for Jordan $*$ -centralizers in semiprime Γ -rings with involution.

Example 1.1 Let R and Z are commutative ring of real numbers and integers, respectively.

$$M = M_{2,2}(R) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} m & 0 \\ n & k \end{pmatrix} : m, n, k \in R \right\}$$

Let

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_{2,2}(Z) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \beta \end{pmatrix} : \alpha, \beta \in Z \right\}$$

Then $M \times \Gamma \times M \rightarrow M$ is a Γ -ring under usual addition and multiplication of matrices.

The Γ -ring M is given by

$$(A, \chi, B) = A\chi B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} m_1\alpha m_2 & 0 \\ n_1\alpha m_2 + k_1\beta n_2 & k_1\beta k_2 \end{pmatrix} : \alpha, \beta \in Z, m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2, k_1, k_2 \in R \right\}$$

It is easy to verify that M is semiprime Γ -ring. We define an additive mapping $f : M \rightarrow M$ by

$$f \left(\begin{pmatrix} m_1\alpha m_2 & 0 \\ n_1\alpha m_2 + k_1\beta n_2 & k_1\beta k_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} m_1\alpha m_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ where } \alpha, \beta \in Z,$$

$$m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2, k_1, k_2 \in R.$$

Let $*$: $M \rightarrow M$ is an involution defined by

$$* \left(\begin{pmatrix} m_1\alpha m_2 & 0 \\ n_1\alpha m_2 + k_1\beta n_2 & k_1\beta k_2 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} m_1\alpha m_2 & 0 \\ 0 & k_1\beta k_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in Z$,

$$m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2, k_1, k_2 \in R.$$

It is easy to check that

$2f(A\xi A) = f(A)\xi A^* + A^* \xi f(A)$ for all $A \in M$, $\xi \in \Gamma$. Then f is a Jordan $*$ -centralizer.

3 On Jordan $*$ -centralizers in semiprime Γ -rings with involution

In this section we prove our result regarding Jordan $*$ -centralizers in semiprime Γ -rings with involution.

Lemma 2.1 Let M be a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring with involution satisfying $x\gamma y\alpha z = x\alpha y\gamma z$ and

$f : M \rightarrow M$ an additive mapping such that

$$2f(x\beta x) = f(x)\beta x^* + x^* \beta f(x) \text{ for all } x \in M,$$

$\beta \in \Gamma$, then f satisfies the identity $[f(x), x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma = 0$.

Proof. We assume that M is noncommutative (the theorem is trivial when M is commutative).

Linearizing

$$2f(x\beta x) = f(x)\beta x^* + x^* \beta f(x), \tag{1}$$

then using the last relation, we get

$$2f(x\beta y + y\beta x) = f(x)\beta y^* + x^* \beta f(y) + f(y)\beta x^* + y^* \beta f(x). \tag{2}$$

Replacing y by $2(x\gamma y + y\gamma x)$ in (2), we obtain

$$4f(x\beta(x\gamma y + y\gamma x) + (x\gamma y + y\gamma x)\beta x) = 2f(x)\beta(y^* \gamma x^* + x^* \gamma y^*) + 2x^* \beta f(x\gamma y + y\gamma x) + 2f(x\gamma y + y\gamma x)\beta x^* + 2(y^* \gamma x^* + x^* \gamma y^*)\beta f(x).$$

Using (2), from the last relation we get

$$4f(x\beta(x\gamma y + y\gamma x) + (x\gamma y + y\gamma x)\beta x) = 2f(x)\beta(y^* \gamma x^* + x^* \gamma y^*) + x^* \beta f(x)\gamma y^* + x^* \beta x^* \gamma f(y) + 2x^* \beta f(y)\gamma x^* + x^* \beta y^* \gamma f(x) + f(x)\gamma y^* \beta x^* + f(y)\gamma x^* \beta x^* + y^* \gamma f(x)\beta x^* + 2(y^* \gamma x^* + x^* \gamma y^*)\beta f(x).$$

That is,

$$4f(x\beta(x\gamma y + y\gamma x) + (x\gamma y + y\gamma x)\beta x) = f(x)\beta(2x^* \gamma y^* + 3y^* \gamma x^*) + (3x^* \gamma y^* + 2y^* \gamma x^*)\beta f(x) + x^* \beta f(x)\gamma y^* + y^* \gamma f(x)\beta x^* + 2x^* \beta f(y)\gamma x^* + x^* \beta x^* \gamma f(y) + f(y)\gamma x^* \beta x^*, \tag{3}$$

which alongwith (1) and (2) gives

$$4f(x\beta(x\gamma y + y\gamma x) + (x\gamma y + y\gamma x)\beta x) = f(x)\beta x^* \gamma y^* + y^* \gamma x^* \beta f(x) + x^* \beta f(x)\gamma y^* + y^* \gamma f(x)\beta x^* + 2x^* \beta x^* \gamma f(y) + 2f(y)\gamma x^* \beta x^* + 8f(x\gamma y\beta x). \tag{4}$$

Comparing (3) and (4), we get

$$8f(x\gamma y\beta x) = f(x)\beta(x^* \gamma y^* + 3y^* \gamma x^*) + (3x^* \gamma y^* + y^* \gamma x^*)\beta f(x) + 2x^* \beta f(y)\gamma x^* - x^* \beta x^* \gamma f(y) - f(y)\gamma x^* \beta x^*. \tag{5}$$

Replacing y by $8(x\gamma y\beta x)$ in (2), we get

$$16f(x\beta(x\gamma y\beta x) + (x\gamma y\beta x)\beta x) = 8f(x)\beta(x^*\gamma y^*\beta x^*) + 8x^*\beta f(x\gamma y\beta x) + 8f(x\gamma y\beta x)\beta x^* + 8(x^*\gamma y^*\beta x^*)\beta f(x).$$

Using (5), from the last relation we get

$$16f(x\beta(x\gamma y\beta x) + (x\gamma y\beta x)\beta x) = f(x)\beta(9x^*\gamma y^*\beta x^* + 3y^*\gamma x^*\beta x^*) + (9x^*\gamma y^*\beta x^* + 3x^*\beta x^*\gamma y^*)\beta f(x) + x^*\beta f(x)\beta(x^*\gamma y^* + 3y^*\gamma x^*) + (3x^*\gamma y^* + y^*\gamma x^*)\beta f(x)\beta x^* + (x^*\beta)^2 f(y)\gamma x^* + x^*\gamma f(y)(\beta x^*)^2 - f(y)\gamma x^*(\beta x^*)^2 - (x^*\beta)^2 x^*\gamma f(y), \tag{6}$$

which alongwith (5) gives

$$16f(x\beta(x\gamma y\beta x) + (x\gamma y\beta x)\beta x) = 2[8f(x\gamma(x\beta y)\beta x)] + 2[8f(x)\gamma(y\beta x)\beta x] = f(x)\beta(2x^*\beta x^*\gamma y^* + 6y^*\gamma x^*\beta x^*) + 8x^*\gamma y^*\beta x^* + (6x^*\beta x^*\gamma y^* + 2y^*\gamma x^*\beta x^* + 8x^*\gamma y^*\beta x^*)\beta f(x) + 4x^*\beta f(x\beta y + y\beta x)\gamma x^* - 2x^*\beta x^*\gamma f(x\beta y + y\beta x) - 2f(x\beta y + y\beta x)\gamma x^*\beta x^*.$$

Using (2), from the last relation we get

$$16f(x\beta(x\gamma y\beta x) + (x\gamma y\beta x)\beta x) = f(x)\beta(2x^*\beta x^*\gamma y^* + 5y^*\gamma x^*\beta x^* + 8x^*\gamma y^*\beta x^*) + (2y^*\gamma x^*\beta x^* + 5x^*\beta x^*\gamma y^* + 8x^*\gamma y^*\beta x^*)\beta f(x) + 2x^*\beta f(x)\gamma y^*\beta x^* + 2x^*\beta y^*\gamma f(x)\beta x^* + (x^*\beta)^2 f(y)\gamma x^* + x^*\gamma f(y)(\beta x^*)^2 - (x^*\beta)^2 f(x)\gamma y^* - y^*\gamma f(x)(\beta x^*)^2 - (x^*\beta)^2 x^*\gamma f(y) - f(y)\gamma x^*(\beta x^*)^2. \tag{7}$$

Comparing (6) and (7), we get

$$f(x)\beta(x^*\gamma y^*\beta x^* - 2y^*\gamma x^*\beta x^* - 2x^*\beta x^*\gamma y^*) + (x^*\gamma y^*\beta x^* - 2x^*\beta x^*\gamma y^* - 2y^*\gamma x^*\beta x^*)\beta f(x) + x^*\beta f(x)\beta(x^*\gamma y^* + y^*\gamma x^*) + (x^*\gamma y^* + y^*\gamma x^*)\beta f(x)\beta x^* + (x^*\beta)^2 f(x)\gamma y^* + y^*\gamma f(x)(\beta x^*)^2 = 0. \tag{8}$$

Replacing y by $x\beta y$ in the last relation, we get

$$f(x)\beta(x^*\gamma y^*(\beta x^*)^2 - 2y^*\gamma x^*(\beta x^*)^2 - 2(x^*\beta)^2 y^*\gamma x^*) + (x^*\gamma y^*(\beta x^*)^2 - 2(x^*\beta)^2 y^*\gamma x^* - 2y^*\gamma x^*(\beta x^*)^2)\beta f(x) + x^*\beta f(x)\beta(x^*\beta y^*\gamma x^* + y^*\gamma x^*\beta x^*) + (x^*\beta)^2 f(x)\beta y^*\gamma x^* + (x^*\beta y^*\gamma x^* + y^*\gamma x^*\beta x^*)\beta f(x)\beta x^* + y^*\gamma x^*\beta f(x)(\beta x^*)^2 = 0. \tag{9}$$

Equation (8) alongwith (*) implies

$$f(x)\beta(x^*\gamma y^*\beta x^* - 2y^*\gamma x^*\beta x^* - 2x^*\beta x^*\gamma y^*)\beta x^* + (x^*\gamma y^*\beta x^* - 2x^*\beta x^*\gamma y^* - 2y^*\gamma x^*\beta x^*)\beta f(x)\beta x^* + x^*\beta f(x)\beta(x^*\gamma y^* + y^*\gamma x^*)\beta x^* + (x^*\gamma y^* + y^*\gamma x^*)\beta f(x)(\beta x^*)^2 + (x^*\beta)^2 f(x)\gamma y^*\beta x^* + y^*\gamma f(x)(\beta x^*)^3 = 0. \tag{10}$$

Subtracting (10) from (9), we have

$$x^*\beta y^*\beta x^*\beta[x^*, f(x)]_\gamma + x^*\beta y^*\beta[x^*, f(x)]_\gamma \beta x^* + 2(x^*\beta)^2 y^*\beta[f(x), x^*]_\gamma + 2y^*\beta(x^*\beta)^2[f(x), x^*]_\gamma + y^*\beta x^*\beta[x^*, f(x)]_\gamma \beta x^* + y^*\beta[x^*, f(x)]_\gamma (\beta x^*)^2 = 0.$$

That is,

$$x^*\beta y^*\beta[x^*\beta x^*, f(x)]_\gamma + 2(x^*\beta)^2 y^*\beta[f(x), x^*]_\gamma + 2y^*\beta(x^*\beta)^2[f(x), x^*]_\gamma + y^*\beta x^*\beta[x^*, f(x)]_\gamma \beta x^* + y^*\beta[x^*, f(x)]_\gamma (\beta x^*)^2 = 0. \tag{11}$$

Replacing y^* by $f(x)\gamma y^*$ in the last relation, we get

$$x^*\beta f(x)\gamma y^*\beta[x^*\beta x^*, f(x)]_\gamma + 2(x^*\beta)^2 f(x)\gamma y^*\beta[f(x), x^*]_\gamma + 2f(x)\gamma y^*\beta(x^*\beta)^2[f(x), x^*]_\gamma + f(x)\gamma y^*\beta x^*\beta[x^*, f(x)]_\gamma \beta x^* + f(x)\gamma y^*\beta[x^*, f(x)]_\gamma (\beta x^*)^2 = 0. \tag{12}$$

Equation (11) alongwith (*) implies

$$f(x)\gamma x^*\beta y^*\beta[x^*\beta x^*, f(x)]_\gamma + 2f(x)\gamma(x^*\beta)^2 y^*\beta[f(x), x^*]_\gamma + 2f(x)\gamma y^*\beta(x^*\beta)^2[f(x), x^*]_\gamma + f(x)\gamma y^*\beta x^*\beta[x^*, f(x)]_\gamma \beta x^* + f(x)\gamma y^*\beta[x^*, f(x)]_\gamma (\beta x^*)^2 = 0. \tag{13}$$

Subtracting (13) from (12) we get

$$[f(x), x^*]_\beta \gamma y^*\beta[f(x), x^*\beta x^*]_\gamma - 2[f(x), x^*\beta x^*]_\gamma \beta y^*\gamma[f(x), x^*]_\beta = 0. \tag{14}$$

Replacing y^* by y . Let $a = [f(x), x^*]_\beta$, $b = [f(x), x^*\beta x^*]_\gamma$ and $c = -2[f(x), x^*\beta x^*]_\gamma$.

Then equation (14) becomes

$$a\gamma y\beta b + c\beta y\gamma a = 0 \text{ for all } y \in M, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma. \tag{15}$$

Let $z \in M$. Replacing y by $y\gamma a\beta z$ in the last relation we get

$$a\gamma y\gamma a\beta z\beta b + c\beta y\gamma a\beta z\gamma a = 0 \text{ for all } y, z \in M, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma. \tag{16}$$

Equation (15) alongwith (*) implies

$$a\gamma y\beta a\gamma z\beta b + a\gamma y\beta c\beta z\gamma a = 0$$

for all $y \in M, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$. (17)

Subtracting (16) from (17) we obtain
 $(a\gamma y\beta c - c\beta y\gamma a)\beta z\gamma a = 0$

for all $y, z \in M, \beta, \gamma \in \Gamma$. (18)

Replacing z by $z\gamma c\beta y$ in the last relation we get
 $(a\gamma y\beta c - c\beta y\gamma a)\beta z\gamma c\beta y\gamma a = 0$. (19)

Equation (18) alongwith (*) gives
 $(a\gamma y\beta c - c\beta y\gamma a)\beta z\gamma a\gamma y\beta c = 0$. (20)

Subtracting (19) from (20) we obtain
 $(a\gamma y\beta c - c\beta y\gamma a)\beta z\gamma(a\gamma y\beta c - c\beta y\gamma a) = 0$, (21)

which alongwith semiprimeness of M implies
 $a\gamma y\beta c = c\beta y\gamma a$ (22)

Using (22), from (15) we get $a\gamma y\beta(b+c) = 0$.

In other words

$$[f(x), x^*]_\beta \gamma y \beta [f(x), x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma = 0. \quad (23)$$

The last relation gives
 $([f(x), x^*]_\beta \gamma x^* + x^* \gamma [f(x), x^*]_\beta) \gamma y \beta [f(x), x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma = 0$

Which implies

$$[f(x), x^* \gamma x^*]_\beta \gamma y \beta [f(x), x^* \beta x^*]_\beta = 0.$$

That is,

$$[f(x), x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma \gamma y \beta [f(x), x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma = 0.$$

Semiprimeness of M implies

$$[f(x), x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma = 0 \quad (24)$$

Theorem 2.2 Let M be a 2-torsion free semiprime Γ -ring with involution satisfying $x\gamma y\alpha z = x\alpha y\gamma z$

and $f : M \rightarrow M$ an additive mapping such that

$$2f(x\beta x) = f(x)\beta x^* + x^* \beta f(x)$$

for all $x \in M, \beta \in \Gamma$, then f is a Jordan

*-centralizer.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, linearizing (24), we get

$$[f(x), y^* \beta y^*]_\gamma + [f(y), x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma + [f(x), x^* \beta y^* + y^* \beta x^*]_\gamma + [f(y), x^* \beta y^* + y^* \beta x^*]_\gamma = 0.$$

Replacing x by $-x$ in the last relation and comparing the relation so obtained with the last relation alongwith 2-torsionfreeness of M , we get

$$[f(x), x^* \beta y^* + y^* \beta x^*]_\gamma + [f(y), x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma = 0 \quad (25)$$

Replacing y by $2(y\beta x + x\beta y)$ in the last relation and then using (2) and (24), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= 2[f(x), (x^* \beta)^2 y^* + y^* (\beta x^*)^2 + 2x^* \beta y^* \beta x^*]_\gamma \\ &+ [f(y)\beta x^* + y^* \beta f(x) + f(x)\beta y^* + x^* \beta f(y), x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma \\ &= 2(x^* \beta)^2 [f(x), y^*]_\gamma + 2[f(x), y^*]_\gamma (\beta x^*)^2 \\ &+ 4[f(x), x^* \beta y^* \beta x^*]_\gamma + f(x)\beta [y^*, x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma \\ &+ x^* \beta [f(y), x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma + [f(y), x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma \beta x^* \\ &+ [y^*, x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma \beta f(x). \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned} &2(x^* \beta)^2 [f(x), y^*]_\gamma + 2[f(x), y^*]_\gamma (\beta x^*)^2 \\ &+ 4[f(x), x^* \beta y^* \beta x^*]_\gamma + f(x)\beta [y^*, x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma \\ &+ x^* \beta [f(y), x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma + [f(y), x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma \beta x^* \\ &+ [y^*, x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma \beta f(x) = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Replacing y by x in the last relation and using 2-torsionfreeness of M , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &(x^* \beta)^2 [f(x), x^*]_\gamma + [f(x), x^*]_\gamma (\beta x^*)^2 \\ &+ 2[f(x), (x^* \beta)^2 x^*]_\gamma = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$(x^* \beta)^2 [f(x), x^*]_\gamma + 3[f(x), x^*]_\gamma (\beta x^*)^2 = 0 \quad (27)$$

From (24) we get

$$[f(x), x^*]_\gamma \beta x^* + x^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma = 0 \quad (28)$$

From the last relation by easy calculations one gets $(x^* \beta)^2 [f(x), x^*]_\gamma = [f(x), x^*]_\gamma (\beta x^*)^2$. Using the last relation, from (27) alongwith 2-torsionfreeness of M , we get

$$[f(x), x^*]_\gamma (\beta x^*)^2 = 0 \quad (29)$$

and

$$(x^* \beta)^2 [f(x), x^*]_\gamma = 0 \quad (30)$$

From (28) and then using (30) we attain

$$x^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma \beta x^* = 0 \quad (31)$$

Using (25), from (26) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &= 2(x^* \beta)^2 [f(x), y^*]_\gamma + 2[f(x), y^*]_\gamma (\beta x^*)^2 \\
 &+ 4[f(x), x^* \beta y^* \beta x^*]_\gamma + f(x) \beta [y^*, x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma \\
 &+ [y^*, x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma \beta f(x) - x^* \beta [f(x), x^* \beta y^* + y^* \beta x^*]_\gamma \\
 &- [f(x), x^* \beta y^* + y^* \beta x^*]_\gamma \beta x^* \\
 &= 2(x^* \beta)^2 [f(x), y^*]_\gamma + 2[f(x), y^*]_\gamma (\beta x^*)^2 \\
 &+ 4[f(x), x^* \beta y^* \beta x^*]_\gamma + f(x) \beta [y^*, x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma \\
 &+ [y^*, x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma \beta f(x) - x^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma \beta y^* \\
 &- (x^* \beta)^2 [f(x), y^*]_\gamma - x^* \beta [f(x), y^*]_\gamma \\
 &- x^* \beta y^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma - [f(x), x^*]_\gamma \beta y^* \beta x^* \\
 &- x^* \beta [f(x), y^*]_\gamma \beta x^* - [f(x), y^*]_\gamma (\beta x^*)^2 \\
 &- y^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma \beta x^*.
 \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(x^* \beta)^2 [f(x), y^*]_\gamma + [f(x), y^*]_\gamma (\beta x^*)^2 \\
 &+ 3[f(x), x^*]_\gamma \beta y^* \beta x^* + 3x^* \beta y^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma \\
 &+ 2x^* \beta [f(x), y^*]_\gamma \beta x^* + f(x) \beta [y^*, x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma \\
 &+ [y^*, x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma \beta f(x) - x^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma \beta y^* \\
 &- y^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma \beta x^* = 0 \tag{32}
 \end{aligned}$$

Replacing y by $x\beta y$ in the last relation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(x^* \beta)^2 [f(x), y^*]_\gamma \beta x^* + (x^* \beta)^2 y^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma \\
 &+ [f(x), y^*]_\gamma \beta (x^* \beta)^2 x^* + y^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma (\beta x^*)^2 \\
 &+ 3[f(x), x^*]_\gamma \beta y^* (\beta x^*)^2 + 3x^* \beta y^* \beta x^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma \\
 &+ 2x^* \beta [f(x), y^*]_\gamma (\beta x^*)^2 + 2x^* \beta y^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma \beta x^* \\
 &- x^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma \beta y^* \beta x^* + f(x) \beta [y^*, x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma \beta x^* \\
 &+ [y^*, x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma \beta x^* \beta f(x) - y^* \beta x^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma \beta x^* = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (29) and (30), the last relation reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(x^* \beta)^2 [f(x), y^*]_\gamma \beta x^* + (x^* \beta)^2 y^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma \\
 &+ [f(x), y^*]_\gamma \beta (x^* \beta)^2 x^* + 3[f(x), x^*]_\gamma \beta y^* (\beta x^*)^2 \\
 &+ 3x^* \beta y^* \beta x^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma + 2x^* \beta [f(x), y^*]_\gamma (\beta x^*)^2 \\
 &+ 2x^* \beta y^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma \beta x^* - x^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma \beta y^* \beta x^* \\
 &+ f(x) \beta [y^*, x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma \beta x^* + [y^*, x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma \beta x^* \beta f(x) = 0. \tag{33}
 \end{aligned}$$

Equation (32) alongwith (*) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(x^* \beta)^2 [f(x), y^*]_\gamma \beta x^* + [f(x), y^*]_\gamma (\beta x^*)^3 \\
 &+ 3[f(x), x^*]_\gamma \beta y^* (\beta x^*)^2 + 3x^* \beta y^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma \beta x^* \\
 &+ 2x^* \beta [f(x), y^*]_\gamma (\beta x^*)^2 + f(x) \beta [y^*, x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma \beta x^* \\
 &+ [y^*, x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma \beta f(x) \beta x^* - x^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma \beta y^* \beta x^* \\
 &- y^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma (\beta x^*)^2 = 0 \tag{34}
 \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting (34) from (33), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(x^* \beta)^2 y^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma + 3x^* \beta y^* \beta x^* \beta [x^*, [f(x), x^*]_\gamma]_\gamma \\
 &+ 2x^* \beta y^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma \beta x^* + [y^*, x^* \beta x^*]_\gamma \beta [x^*, f(x)]_\gamma = 0,
 \end{aligned}$$

which alongwith (30) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
 &2(x^* \beta)^2 y^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma + 3x^* \beta y^* \beta x^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma \\
 &- x^* \beta y^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma \beta x^* = 0. \tag{Re}
 \end{aligned}$$

placing $-[f(x), x^*]_\gamma \beta x^*$ by $x^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma$ in the last relation, we obtain

$$(x^* \beta)^2 y^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma + 2x^* \beta y^* \beta x^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma = 0 \tag{35}$$

Using (24), (29), (30) and (31), from (11) we get

$$(x^* \beta)^2 y^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma = 0,$$

which alongwith (35), gives $x^* \beta y^* \beta x^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma = 0$.

That is,

$$x^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma \gamma y^* \beta x^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma = 0.$$

Replacing y^* by y alongwith semiprimeness of M , we have

$$x^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma = 0 \tag{36}$$

Similarly, we have

$$[f(x), x^*]_\gamma \beta x^* = 0 \tag{37}$$

Linearizing (36) and then replacing x by $-x$ and adding the relation so obtained in the previous relation and then using 2-torsionfreeness of M , we get

$$y^* \beta [f(x), x^*]_\gamma + x^* \beta [f(x), y^*]_\gamma + x^* \beta [f(y), x^*]_\gamma = 0 \tag{38}$$

he last relation alongwith (37) and (*), implies

$$[f(x), x^*]_\gamma \beta y^* \gamma [f(x), x^*]_\gamma = 0.$$

Replacing y^* by y alongwith semiprimeness of M , we get

$$[f(x), x^*]_\gamma = 0 \tag{38}$$

Combining (1) and (38), we get $f(x\beta x) = f(x)\beta x^*$ and

$f(x\beta x) = x^* \beta f(x)$ for all $x \in M$ and $\beta \in \Gamma$. That is, f is both a left and a right Jordan $*$ -centralizer. Hence f

is a Jordan $*$ -centralizer.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors are thankful to the Bahauddin Zakariya University, Multan and Higher Education Commission, Pakistan for the support and facilities provided during this research.

REFERENCES

- [1] N. Nobusawa, On the generalization of the ring theory, *Osaka J. Math.*, **1**(1964), 81-89.
- [2] W. E. Barnes, On the Γ -rings of Nobusawa, *Pacific J. Math.*, **18**(3)(1966), 411-422.
- [3] K. K. Dey and A. C. Paul, Semi derivations of prime gamma rings, *GANIT J. Bangladesh Math. Soc.*, **31**(2011), 65-70.
- [4] A. R. Khan, I. Javaid and M. Anwar Chaudhry, Derivations on semiprime Γ -rings, *Utilitas Mathematica*, **90** (2013), 171-185.
- [5] S. Kyuno, On prime gamma rings, *Pacific J. Math.*, **75**(1)(1978), 185-190.
- [6] S. Kyuno, Prime ideals in gamma rings, *Pacific J. Math.*, **98**(2)(1982), 375-379.
- [7] J. Luh, On the theory of simple Γ -rings, *Michigan Math. J.*, **16**(1969), 65-75.
- [8] M. Sapanci, and A. Nakajima, Jordan derivations on completely prime gamma rings, *Math. Japonica*, **46**(1)(1997), 47-51.
- [9] N. Divinsky, On commuting automorphisms of rings, *Trans. Roy. Soc. Canada. Sect. III.*, **49**(6)(1955), 19-22.
- [10] J. Vukman, An identity related to centralizers in semiprime rings, *Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin.*, **40**(3)(1999), 447-456.